

Mass Timber Multifamily Construction Products, Performance and Design

Mark Bartlett, PE Regional Director | TX WoodWorks – Wood Products Council

Carbon12 - Portland, OR; Credit: Kaiser + Path



"The Wood Products Council" is a Registered Provider with The American Institute of Architects Continuing Education Systems (AIA/CES), Provider #G516.

Credit(s) earned on completion of this course will be reported to AIA CES for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for both AIA members and non-AIA members are available upon request. This course is registered with AIA CES for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product.

Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.

Course Description

Due to their high strength, dimensional stability and positive environmental performance, mass timber building products are quickly becoming materials of choice for sustainably-minded designers. This presentation will provide a detailed look at the variety of mass timber products available for multifamily projects, including glue-laminated timber (glulam), cross laminated timber (CLT), dowel laminated timber (DLT), heavy timber decking, and other engineered and composite systems. Applications for the use of these products under modern building codes will be discussed, and examples of their use in U.S. multifamily projects reviewed. Mass timber's ability to act as both structure and exposed finish will also be highlighted, as will its performance as part of an assembly, considering design objectives related to structural performance, fire resistance, acoustics, and energy efficiency. Other topics will include detailing and construction best practices, lessons learned from completed projects and trends for the increased use of mass timber products in the future.



Learning Objectives

- 1. Identify mass timber products available in North America and consider how they can be used under current building codes and standards.
- 2. Review completed mass timber projects that demonstrate a range of applications and system configurations.
- 3. Discuss benefits of using mass timber products, including structural versatility, prefabrication, lighter carbon footprint, and reduced labor costs.
- 4. Highlight possibilities for the expanded use and application of mass timber in larger and taller buildings.



AGENDA | MASS TIMBER CONSTRUCTION

Mass Timber

- What is it? Products
- Why use it? Appeal
- How does it work? Design topics
- Where is it used? Case studies
- What's next?



Current State of Mass Timber Projects

As of June 2021, **1169** multi-family, commercial, or institutional, projects have been constructed with mass timber across the US, or they're in design.



OVERVIEW | TIMBER METHODOLOGIES



Heavy Timber Photo: Benjamin Benschneider

Mass Timber Photo: John Stamets

Glue Laminated Timber (Glulam) Beams and Columns

Cross-Laminated Timber (CLT) Solid Sawn Laminations

Cross-Laminated Timber (CLT) SCL Laminations / Mass Plywood







Photo: Freres Lumber







Nail-Laminated Timber (NLT)



Dowel-Laminated Timber (DLT)



Photo: StructureCraft

Decking



Photo: Think Wood



Photo: Ema Peter





Cross-laminated timber (CLT)

Cross-Laminated Timber

- Solid wood panel
- 3 layers min. of solid sawn lams
- 90 deg. cross-lams glued together





CLT prefabrication

- Finished panels are planed, sanded, cut to size. Then openings are cut with precise CNC routers.
- Third party inspection at factory
- Custom engineered for material efficiency
- Custom designed for project
- Each panel numbered, delivered & installed in predetermined sequence

In 2015 IBC, CLT is defined in Chapter 2 Definitions:

[BS] CROSS-LAMINATED TIMBER. A prefabricated engineered wood product consisting of not less than three layers of solid-sawn lumber or *structural composite lumber* where the adjacent layers are cross oriented and bonded with structural adhesive to form a solid wood element.

And is referenced in Chapter 23:

2303.1.4 Structural glued cross-laminated timber. Crosslaminated timbers shall be manufactured and identified in accordance with ANSI/APA PRG 320.



Nail-Laminated Timber (NLT)

- Mechanically laminated solid timber panel
- Dimensional lumber
 - Nominal 2x, 3x, or 4x thickness
 - 4 in. to 12 in. width on edge
 - Laminations connected with nails
- Recognized in IBC 2304.8.3
 - Mechanically laminated decking



NLT panels can be built on-site, in-place or pre-fabricated offsite





Dowel-Laminated Timber (DLT)

- Hardwood Dowels in lieu of nails
- Not currently recognized as prescriptively permitted material in IBC
- Several DLT projects in Texas



DLT profile options



PHOTO CREDIT: STRUCTURECRAFT BUILDERS

Mass Timber Floor Assembly

Common floor assembly:

- Finish floor (if applicable)
- Underlayment (if applicable)
- 1.5" to 3" thick concrete/gypcrete topping
- Acoustical mat
- WSP (if applicable; not shown)
- Mass Timber floor panels



• Open floor plans

- Flexibility for floor layouts and future modifications
- Non-loadbearing, fire-rated demising walls still need to be built, but don't need to

Photo: Ema Peter

FRAMING OPTIONS | POST, BEAM + PLATE



CLT allows for spanning in two directions

- No beams; reduced floor-tofloor heights
- Non-loadbearing, fire-rated demising walls still need to be built

Photo: Seagate Structures

 Tight column spacing required

FRAMING OPTIONS | POST + PLATE

- Fast and easy installation
- Small crew required for install
- Mass timber walls can't be exposed due to acoustics
- Load-bearing, light-frame walls are more materially efficient /cost effective



FRAMING OPTIONS | HONEYCOMB

- Light-frame walls more cost effective
- Field-built, light-frame walls may have tolerance issues with mass timber
- Prefabrication of walls will speed up construction and improve quality



- OPEN FLOOR PLANS
- FLEXIBILITY FOR FLOOR LAYOUTS AND FUTURE MODIFICATIONS
- Steel framing allows for larger column grids
- IF A FIRE RATING IS REQUIRED, EXPOSED STEEL NEEDS FIRE PROTECTION
- NON-LOADBEARING, FIRE-RATED DEMISING WALLS STILL NEED TO BE BUILT



FRAMING OPTIONS | HYBRID STEEL + MASS TIMBER

Is Mass Timber a Good Fit for Your Multi-Family Project?

Ascent, Milwaukee, WI Source: Korb & Associates Architects Why do you want to use mass timber?

Why does the developer want to use mass timber?

Know your Why

- Cost
- Speed of Construction
- Sustainability
- Lightweight Structure
- Market Distinction (i.e. higher rents)
- Leasing Velocity
- Resale Value



Reference 1 Concrete Slabs on Steel Deck; Steel Frame: Concrete Cores



Reference 2 Concrete Flat Slab; Concrete Cores

Timber Use 3

Timber Floors; LGM Framing;

Steel Frame Podium



Timber Use 1 Timber Floors: Steel Frame: Concrete Cores



Timber Use 4 Timber Floors & Shear Walls: Steel Frame Podium

Cost (Remember your Why)

- Mass Timber vs. Light-frame -
- MT-LF Hybrid vs. Light-frame -
- Mass Timber vs. Concrete Mass Timber vs. Steel
- Material Costs vs. Total project costs
- Speed of Construction
- Costs vs. Creating Value -



Timber Use 2 Timber Post, Beam, & Plate; **Concrete** Cores

Source: Generate Architecture + Technologies

Seattle Mass Timber Tower: Detailed Cost Comparison Fast Construction



- Textbook example done by industry experts
- Mass timber vs. PT concrete
- Detailed cost, material takeoff & schedule comparisons

"The initial advantage of Mass Timber office projects in Seattle will come through the

leasing velocity

that developers will experience."

- Connor Mclain, Colliers

Seattle Mass Timber Tower Fast Construction

Construction Schedule:



Seattle Mass Timber Tower

Faster Construction + Higher Material Costs = Cost Competitive

System	Mass Timber Design	PT Concrete Design	Mass Timber Savings
Direct Cost of Work	\$86,997,136	\$85,105,091	2.2%
Project Overhead	\$ 9,393,750	\$11,768,750	-20.2%
Add-Ons	\$ 8,387,345	\$ 8,429,368	-0.5%
Total	\$104,778,231	\$105,303,209	-0.5%

Source: DLR Group | Fast + Epp | Swinerton Builders

Speed of Construction

Forte – Time Lapse



GLOBAL WARMING POTENTIAL & MATERIAL MASS (PER BUILDING ASSEMBLY)

Sustainability Impacts

Source: Generate Architecture + Technologies





Lightweight Structure

- 75% lighter weight than concrete
- Reduced Foundations
- Lower base shear in seismic regions
- Safer jobsite
- Vertical Additions







Above: Timber Lofts, Milwaukee, WI Credit: ADX Creative and Engberg Anderson Architects

Left: 69 A Street, Boston, MA Credit: Greg Folkins

VERTICAL ADDITIONS AND ADAPTIVE REUSE

KEY DESIGN CONSTRAINTS

ACME Timber, New Haven, CT Credit: Grey Organschi Architecture

2322

CONSTRUCTION TYPES

- Type IV-A, IV-B, IV-C (Tall Wood)
 - New in IBC 2021
 - AMMR for older codes
 - Will the AHJ allow?
- Type IV-HT vs. Type III-A
 - Similar allowable heights and areas
 - Concealed spaces in III-A only
 - This changes in IBC 2021
 - Fire ratings more stringent for III-A
- Type III-A and V-A
 - 1-hour fire rating for exposed wood elements
- Type III-B and V-B
 - No fire rating for exposed wood elements, but...

Construction Type (All Sprinklered Values)									
IV-A	IV-B	IV-C	IV-HT	III-A	III-B	V-A	V-B		
Allowable Building Height above Grade Plane, Feet (IBC Table 504.3)									
270	180	85	85	85	85	70	60		
	Allowabl	e Number o	f Stories abo	ove Grade P	lane (IBC T	able 505.4)			
18	12	6	4	4	3	3	2		
18	12	9	6	6	4	4	3		
18	12	8	5	5	5	4	3		
Allowable Area Factor (At) for SM, Feet ² (IBC Table 506.2)									
135,000	90,000	56,250	45,000	42,000	28,500	34,500	18,000		
324,000	216,000	135,000	108,000	85,500	57,000	54,000	27,000		
184,500	123,000	76,875	61,500	72,000	48,000	36,000	21,000		
	IV-A 270 18 18 18 135,000 324,000 184,500	IV-A IV-B Allowable 270 180 Allowabl 18 12 18 12 18 12 18 12 18 12 18 12 324,000 216,000 184,500 123,000	Construct IV-A IV-B IV-C Allowable Building He S S 270 180 85 Allowable Number o 85 18 12 6 18 12 9 18 12 8 Construct 8 12 18 12 8 135,000 90,000 56,250 324,000 216,000 135,000 184,500 123,000 76,875	Construction Type (A IV-A IV-B IV-C IV-HT Allowable Building He ight above 0 ight above 0 270 180 85 85 Allowable Number of Stories above 0 Stories above 0 ight above 0 18 12 6 4 18 12 9 6 18 12 8 5 Allowable Area F actor (At) f actor (At) f 135,000 90,000 56,250 45,000 324,000 216,000 135,000 108,000	IV-A IV-B IV-C IV-HT III-A Allowable Building He ight above Grade Plane 270 180 85 85 270 180 85 85 Allowable Number of Stories above Grade Plane Ve Grade Plane 18 12 6 4 18 12 9 6 6 18 12 8 5 5 18 12 8 5 5 18 12 8 5 5 135,000 90,000 56,250 45,000 42,000 324,000 216,000 135,000 108,000 85,500 184,500 123,000 76,875 61,500 72,000	Construction Type (All Sprinklered Values) IV-A IV-B IV-C IV-HT III-A III-B Allowable Building Height above Grade Plane, Feet (IBC) 270 180 85 85 85 Allowable Number of Stories above Grade Plane, Feet (IBC) 18 12 6 4 4 3 18 12 9 6 6 4 18 12 9 6 6 4 18 12 8 5 5 5 Allowable Area F actor (At) for SM, Feet? (IBC Table) 135,000 90,000 56,250 45,000 42,000 28,500 324,000 216,000 135,000 108,000 85,500 57,000 184,500 123,000 76,875 61,500 72,000 48,000	Vor Construction Type (All Sprinklered Values) IV-A IV-B IV-C IV-HT III-A III-B V-A Allowable Building Height above Grade Plane, Feet (IBC) Table 504.3 270 180 85 85 85 70 Allowable Number of Stories above Grade Plane, (IBC Table 505.4) Alloe 505.4) 18 12 6 4 4 3 3 18 12 6 4 4 3 3 18 12 9 6 6 4 4 18 12 8 5 5 5 4 18 12 8 5 5 5 4 18 12 8 5 5 5 4 18 12 8 5 5 5 4 18 12 8 5 5 5 4 135,000 90,000 56,250 45,000 28,500 34,500		

For multi-unit residential buildings, walls and floors between dwelling or sleeping units are required to have a fire-resistance rating of 1/2 hour in Type II-B, III-B and V-B construction when sprinklered throughout with an NFPA 13 system, and 1 hour for all other construction types (IBC 420,708 and 711).

MEP SYSTEMS, ROUTING, INTEGRATION



INTEGRATED SYSTEMS

The Tallhouse building system prioritizes the integration of design, engineering, and construction. This results in a high performance building finely tuned to meet energy, comfort, acoustic, and design criteria that has been vetted by constructability experts to ensure fast, efficient production.

Credit: John Klein, Generate Architecture

Utilizing Pre-Fabricated Facade Panels and Bathroom Modules that are manufactured off-site in factories allows for reducing construction time on-site, higher quality control practices, and safer labor conditions for construction workers. Efficient routing of duct-work conserves material, and associated embodied carbon, allowing more exposed timber all while providing the air quality needed for healthy living. Water conserving fixtures reduce potable water use as a precious resource, while maintaining reliable performance.

ACOUSTICS



Railyard Flats, Sioux Falls, SD Credit: WoodWorks

Table 1: CLT Floor Assemblies with Concrete/Gypsum Topping, Ceiling Side Exposed

+ 5-



	Finish Floo	r if Applicable						
	Acoustical	Mat Broduct						
	Acoustical	Mat Product			Contraction of the			
	CIT Panal							
	CLI Faller	the second						
	No direct a	pplied or hung ceiling						
LT Panel	Concrete/Gypsum Topping	Acoustical M	at Product Between CLT and Topping	STC1	IIC ¹	Sour		
				None	47 ² ASTC	47 ² AllC		
				LVT	~	49 ² AIIC		
			2/4	Carpet + Pad	~	75 ² AllC		
		Maxxon Acousti-Mat	3/4	LVT on Acousti-Top®	~	52 ² AllC		
	1-1/2" Gyp-Crete®			Eng Wood on Acousti-		543 AUG	1	
	No. of Aller and			Top®	-	51 ² AllC		
		10 10 10 10 10 10		None	49 ² ASTC	45 ² AIIC	1	
		Maxxon Acousti-Mat	% Premium	LVT	-	47 ² AIIC		
				LVT on Acousti-Top®	- 1	49 ² AllC		
		1		None	456	205	15	
				IVT	45	175	15	
CLT 5-ply (6.875")	in strange		IVT Plus	40	47	58		
		USG SAM N25 Ultra		Eng Wood	40	43	50	
			Carpet + Pad	47	575	60		
			Commis Tile	505	465	61		
	- P			Noos	456	40	15	

FIRE RESISTANCE, CONSTRUCTION TYPE, GRID

TABLE 601 FIRE-RESISTANCE RATING REQUIREMENTS FOR BUILDING ELEMENTS (HOURS)

	TYPEI		TYPE II		TYPE III		TYPE IV	TYPE V	
BUILDING ELEMENT	Α	В	A	В	A	В	HT	Α	В
Primary structural frame ^f (see Section 202)	3ª	2ª	1	0	1	0	HT	1	0
Bearing walls Exterior ^{e, f} Interior	3 3ª	2 2ª	1	0 0	2	2 0	2 1/HT	1 1	0 0
Nonbearing walls and partitions Exterior	See Table 602								
Nonbearing walls and partitions Interior ^d	0	0	0	0	0	0	See Section 602.4.6	0	0
Floor construction and associated secondary members (see Section 202)	2	2	1	0	1	0	HT	1	0
Roof construction and associated secondary members (see Section 202)	1 ¹ / ₂ ^b	1 ^{b,c}	1 ^{b,c}	0°	1 ^{b,c}	0	HT	1 ^{b,c}	0



For SI: 1 foot = 304.8 mm.

a. Roof supports: Fire-resistance ratings of primary structural frame and bearing walls are permitted to be reduced by 1 hour where supporting a roof only.

b. Except in Group F-1, H, M and S-1 occupancies, fire protection of structural members shall not be required, including protection of roof framing and decking where every part of the roof construction is 20 feet or more above any floor immediately below. Fire-retardant-treated wood members shall be allowed to be used for such unprotected members.

c. In all occupancies, heavy timber shall be allowed where a 1-hour or less fire-resistance rating is required.

- d. Not less than the fire-resistance rating required by other sections of this code.
- e. Not less than the fire-resistance rating based on fire separation distance (see Table 602).
- f. Not less than the fire-resistance rating as referenced in Section 704.10.

Panel	Example Floor Span Ranges
3-ply CLT (4-1/8" thick)	Up to 12 ft
5-ply CLT (6-7/8" thick)	14 to 17 ft
7-ply CLT (9-5/8")	17 to 21 ft
2x4 NLT	Up to 12 ft
2x6 NLT	10 to 17 ft
2x8 NLT	14 to 21 ft
5" MPP	10 to 15 ft



CASE STUDIES

360 WYTHE AVENUE - BROOKLYN, NY





Credit: Flank



TIMBER LOFTS MILWAUKEE, WI

ANN PIEPER EISENBROWN OWNER/PRESIDENT | PIPER PROPERTIES TIMBER LOFTS

"Mass timber shaved 20% off our construction schedule. It's a renewable resource and also creates that warm look."

Source: ADX Creative and Engberg Anderson Architects

Source: Think Wood



ADOHI HALL DORMATORY UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS

Credit: Modus Studio

CONDOS AT LOST RABBIT, MS



THE POSTMARK APARTMENTS - SHORELINE, WA



Credit: Katerra, Hans-Erik Blomgren

CIRRUS - DENVER, CO



Credit: KL&A Engineers & Builders

CANYONS - PORTLAND, OR



Credit: Jeremy Bittermann & Kaiser + Path

THE DUKE – AUSTIN, TX



Mass timber construction The future's looking up

Photo credit: Alex Schreyer

Tall Wood Projects in the US

- Current Prescriptive Code Limit, R-2 5 stories or 85 feet
- Over 85 feet- Alternate Means and Methods Request (AMMR) through performance-based design
- Based on the 1910 Heights and Areas Act

Carbon 12 – Portland, OR (completed 2018)



Credit: Baumberger Studio/PATH Architecture

Tall Wood Projects



Murray Grove London, United Kingdom 8 Stories 2009



Forté Melbourne, Australia 10 Stories 2012



TREET Bergen, Norway 14 Stories 2015



Brock Commons Vancouver, Canada 18 Stories 2017



HoHo Vienna, Austria 24 Stories 2017

Source: ThinkWood



Brock Commons

Vancouver, BC

- Completed Fall 2017
- 18 Stories
- 174 FT
- 156,000 SQ.FT.

Photo credit: Acton Ostry Architects



- 5-ply CLT panels, 2-way span
- ~9'X13' column grid

Photo credit: Acton Ostry Architects

concrete structure and CLT canopy at base



Brock Commons

Vancouver, BC





Brock Commons

Vancouver, BC

- 17 stories of timber installation
- Started
 - June 6, 2016
- Finished
 - August 10, 2016

2021 IBC Tall Wood – Type IV Const.



*BUILDING FLOOR-TO-FLOOR HEIGHTS ARE SHOWN AT 12'-0" FOR ALL EXAMPLES FOR CLARITY IN COMPARISON BETWEEN 2015 TO 2021 IBC CODES.

BUSINESS OCCUPANCY [GROUP B]

INTRO, CLEVELAND 512,000 SF 297 Apartments, Mixed-Use

9 Stories | 115 ft 8 Timber Over 1 Podium

Photo: Harbor Bay Real Estate Advisors, Image Fiction Architect: Hartshorne Plunkard Architecture

INTRO

25 STORIES 19 TIMBER OVER 6 PODIUM, 284 FT Photo: Korb & Associates Architects | Architect: Korb & Associates Architects



Additional Resources – WoodWorks.org



Additional Resources



thinkwood.com



Mass Timber Connections Index: Optimal Connection Considerations

Erin Kinder, PE and Greg Kingsley, PhD, PE • KL&A Engineers & Builders

This paper is a companion piece to the Index of Mass Timber Connections, available on the WoodWorks website here.

The popularity of mass timber structures continues to grow throughout the United States as owners, developers, architects and contractors embrace the environmental benefits, aesthetics and increased construction speed of this innovative building type. As the number of structures increases, there is a heightened desire for detailed analysis of the cost drivers. It is generally understood that greater wood volume equates to increased cost, and it is therefore important to reduce member sizes as much as possible. It is also recognized that the cost of connections in a mass timber structure can significantly affect the overall project cost; however, because mass timber connection design must consider not only structural design but also aesthetics, fire-rating requirements, constructability, accommodations for shrinkage and swelling, and moisture protection, finding the optimal solution can be challenging. To assist designers in this effort, WoodWorks has published a simple index highlighting the spectrum of available structural and architectural mass timber connections. The intent is to facilitate the selection of cost-optimal connection types while balancing other important considerations. This paper focuses on the structural connections, addressing each of these considerations to inform users of the basis and intent of the index.

Connection Classes

To organize the index, structural connections were grouped into three categories or 'Connection Classes' that share common attributes regarding cost, constructability and fire rating. These classes are defined and illustrated in Table 1 as Class 1, Class 2 and Class 3. Class 1 connections require only mass timber elements and structural fasteners. Class 2 connections are custom steel fabricated elements, made up of components such as plates and angles, and include structural fasteners. Class 3 connections are prefabricated proprietary connectors available from suppliers such as Simpson Strong-Tie, Rothoblaas, MiTek and others. Class 3 connections are often backed by supporting tests for strendth and fire rating.



Platte Fifteen LOCATION- Derver, Colorado OWNER: Crescent Real Estate LLC ARCHTECT OZ Architecture STRUCTURAL ENGINEER: KL&A Engineers & Builders

In general, Class 1 connections are the least expensive and simplest to install, but they may not always meet other project constraints. Class 2 and 3 connections are generally more costly; however, Class 3 connections may be most appropriate when hidden connections are desired, or if fire-resistance ratings are important.

Additional Resources



Fire Design of Mass Timber Members

Code Applications, Construction Types and Fire Ratings

Richard McLain, PE, SE • Senior Technical Director • WoodWorks Scott Breneman, PhD, PE, SE . Senior Technical Director . WoodWorks

Inventory of Fire-Resistance Tested Mass Timber Assemblies

For many years, exposed heavy timber framing element



Eiset Tech Endoral

Resistance Tests of Mass Timber Floor / Roof Assemblies

WOODWORKS WOOD PRODUCTS COUNCI



Mass Timber Cost and **Design Optimization Checklists**

WoodWorks has developed the following checklists to assist in the design and cost optimization of mass timber projects. The design optimization checklists are intended for building designers (architects and engineers), but many of the topics should also be discussed with the fabricators and builders. The

CLT Grade or x Minor Grade	Ceiling Protection	Panel Connection	Floor Topping	Load Rating	Fire Resistance Achieved (Hours)	Source	Testing Lab
650 Fb 1.5E MSR x SPF #3	2 layers 1/2" Type X gypsum	Half-Lap	None	Reduced 36% Moment Capacity	1	1 (Test 1)	NRC Fire Laboratory
1/#2 x SPF #1/#2	1 layer 5/8" Type X gypsum	Half-Lap	None	Reduced 75% Moment Capacity	1	1 (Test 5)	NRC Fire Laboratory
El	None	Topside Spline	2 staggered layers of 1/2" cement boards	Loaded, See Manufacturer	2	2	NRC Fire Laboratory March 2016
E1	1 layer of 5/8" Type X gypsum under Z- channels and furring strips with 3 5/8" fiberglass batts	Topside Spline	2 staggered layers of 1/2" cement boards	Loaded, See Manufacturer	2	5	NRC Fire Laboratory Nov 2014
El	None	Topside Spline	3/4 in. proprietary gypcrete over Maxxon acoustical mat	Reduced 50% Moment Capactiy	1.5	3	UL
El	1 layer 5/8" normal gypsum	Topside Spline	3/4 in. proprietary gypcrete over Maxxon acoustical mat or proprietary sound board	Reduced 50% Moment Capactiy	2	4	UL
El	1 layer 5/8" Type X gyp under Resilient Channel under 7 7/8" I-Joists with 3 1/2" Mineral Wool beween Joists	Half-Lap	None	Loaded, See Manufacturer	2	21	Intertek 8/24/2012
E1M5 R 2100 x SPF #2	None	Topside Spline	1-1/2" Maxxon Cyp-Grete 2000 over Maxxon Reinforcing Mesh	Loaded, See Manufacturer	2,5	6	Intertek, 2/22/2016
VI	None	Half-Lap & Topside Spline	2" gypsum topping	Loaded, See Manufacturer	2	7	SwRI (May 2016)
1950 Fb MSR x SPF #3	None	Half-Lap	None	Reduced 59% Moment Capacity	1.5	1 (Test 3)	NRC Fire Laboratory
1/#2 x SPF #1/#2	1 layer 5/8" Type X gypsum	Half-Lap	None	Unreduced 101% Moment Capacity	2	1 (Test 6)	NRC Fire Laborator
1/#2 x SPF #1/#2	None	Half-Lap	None	Unreduced 101% Moment Capacity	2.5	1 (Test 7)	NRC Fire Laborator

Additional Resources



Questions?

This concludes The American Institute of Architects Continuing Education Systems Course

Mark Bartlett, PE Regional Director | TX WoodWorks – The Wood Products Council <u>mark.bartlett@woodworks.org</u> C:214-679-1874





Thank You

AL

UW MercerCourt, credit WG Clark Construction and credit www.naturallywood.com

6 11 16 19

Cathedral of Christ the Light Skidmore, Owings & Merrill LLP Cesar Rubio Photography

Copyright Materials

This presentation is protected by US and International Copyright laws. Reproduction, distribution, display and use of the presentation without written permission of the speaker is prohibited.

© The Wood Products Council 2021

Disclaimer: The information in this presentation, including, without limitation, references to information contained in other publications or made available by other sources (collectively "information") should not be used or relied upon for any application without competent professional examination and verification of its accuracy, suitability, code compliance and applicability by a licensed engineer, architect or other professional. Neither the Wood Products Council nor its employees, consultants, nor any other individuals or entities who contributed to the information make any warranty, representative or guarantee, expressed or implied, that the information is suitable for any general or particular use, that it is compliant with applicable law, codes or ordinances, or that it is free from infringement of any patent(s), nor do they assume any legal liability or responsibility for the use, application of and/or reference to the information. Anyone making use of the information in any manner assumes all liability arising from such use.