WOODWORKS

Let's Talk About Wood: Science, Carbon and Multi-Family Building Design

Outer Bark Inner Bark

Presented by Janelle Leafblad & Chelsea Drenick, WoodWorks December 16, 2021 MULTI-FAMILY/MIXED-USE | EDUCATION | OFFICE | RETAIL | INDUSTRIAL | CIVIC | INSTITUTIONAL

## Designing a wood building? Ask us anything.

FREE PROJECT SUPPORT / EDUCATION / RESOURCES

Nationwide support for the code-compliant design, engineering and construction of non-residential and multi-family wood buildings.

- Allowable Heights/Areas
- Construction Types
- Structural Detailing
- Wood-Framed & Hybrid Systems
- Fire/Acoustic Assemblies

- Lateral System Design
- Alternate Means of Compliance
- Energy-Efficient Detailing
- Building Systems & Technologies

woodworks.org/project-assistance | help@woodworks.org





#### Free Online Resources: woodworks.org

- >> Expert tips
- » Heights & Areas Calculator
- » Carbon Calculator
- » Case Studies
- » CEUs
- >> Wood Solution Papers
- » Project Gallery
- » Webinar Archive

The Gibson Hummel Architects, KPFF Consulting Engineers, photo Leo A. Geis

#### **RESOURCES**

New WOOD SOLUTION PAPER

Taking the Guesswork out of Mixed-Use Building Requirements



# & UPCOMING EVENTS

January 12<sup>th</sup> | WEBINAR

The World's Tallest Mass Timber Tower: A Behind the Scenes Look at Ascent

#### Updated TALL WOOD SOLUTION PAPERS



February 9<sup>th</sup> & 16<sup>th</sup> | SYMPOSIUM

Save the date—online event



# Watch the Chat Window, Ask Questions through the Q&A Box

- » During today's event will be sending links, files and other pertinent information through the Chat window, located at the bottom of your screen.
- » Submit questions in the Q&A box at the bottom of your screen as they come up in the presentations. We will get to as many questions as possible.



WOODWORK

#### **Questions? Ask us anything.**



Chelsea Drenick, SE

Regional Director | CA-North, NV, UT (303) 588-1300

chelsea.drenick@woodworks.org



Janelle Leafblad, PE Regional Director | OR, AK, HI, ID, WA (415) 310-8549

WOODWORKS

COUNC

MOOD PRODUCT

janelle.leafblad@woodworks.org

901 East Sixth, Thoughtbarn-Delineate Studio, Leap!Structures, photo Casey Dunn "The Wood Products Council" is a Registered Provider with The American Institute of Architects Continuing Education Systems (AIA/CES), Provider #G516.

Credit(s) earned on completion of this course will be reported to AIA CES for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for both AIA members and non-AIA members are available upon request. This course is registered with AIA CES for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product.

Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.



#### **Course Description**

This presentation will provide a review of wood science as it relates to the structural engineer, including wood's cell structure and moisture interaction properties, followed by a detailed look at wood shrinkage. Discussion will cover available wood species as well as methods of grading and identification for common wood framing material. This course also takes a brief look at the use of wood in construction and highlights some of the positive environmental benefits it can bring to a building. Basic terminology surrounding carbon will be reviewed along with information on carbon sequestration in wood. Key considerations for mid-rise multi-family design will then be reviewed including impacts of construction type, fire ratings, shrinkage design, floor vibration, and exterior wall detailing.



#### **Learning Objectives**

- 1. Review wood's cellular makeup in order to understand carbon storage and how wood and moisture interact.
- 2. Discuss available wood species as well as methods of grading and identification for common wood framing material.
- 3. Examine floor-to-exterior wall details for use in wood-frame construction and discuss multi-story wood bearing wall design.
- 4. Discuss design considerations specific to wood framing such as moisture control and shrinkage accommodation



- **PRESENTATION OUTLINE** 1. WOOD SCIENCE AND GRADING
- 2. CARBON STORAGE IN WOOD PRODUCTS
- 3. DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS FOR MID-RISE MULTI-FAMILY CONSTRUCTION

#### **Wood Science**





#### Wood Handbook



# **Wood Science: Cell Structure**

Wood cell structure has 3 basic functions:

- 1. Conduction of water from roots to branches, leaves
- 2. Mechanical support of plant body
- 3. Storage of biochemicals

Understanding the basic requirements of the wood cell structure as dictated by these 3 functions allows us to understand wood as an engineering and building material.

Relationship of Form and Function



Source: Canadian Wood Council

Trees have 2 main components: the **Roots** and the **Shoot** 

**Roots**: Subterranean structure, water and mineral nutrient uptake, mechanical anchoring of shoot, storage of biochemicals

**Shoot**: Trunk, branches, leaves

Will focus on trunk



# **Cell Structure: The Trunk**

#### Trunk Cross Section:

A – outer bark (dry dead tissue)

- B inner bark (living tissue)
- C cambium (secondary growth cells)
- D sapwood
- E heartwood
- F pith
- G wood rays



Source: Wood Handbook, USDA Forest Service

#### **Cell Structure: Heartwood & Sapwood**

Sapwood: Outer, lighter colored band which conducts moisture and sap, stores biochemicals and carbon, and is the metabolically active zone (living sapwood cells are agents of heartwood formation)

Heartwood: darker colored core, long term storage of extractives which are biochemicals that provide natural durability to wood. They are formed at the heartwood-sapwood interface and infiltrate cells throughout the heartwood region

#### **Cell Structure: Tree Growth**



Wood Education Institute

- <u>Earlywood</u>: lighter colored, larger portion of growth ring with thinner cell walls (less dense); grows in rainy spring season
- <u>Latewood</u>: darker colored, thinner but denser portion of growth ring; grows in dry summer season
- Direct correlation between structural properties and percentage of latewood (more latewood = higher strength)

#### **Cell Structure: Anatomy**



Cell & Ray size, layout and density are all factors that contribute to wood's mechanical properties



## **Cell Structure: Anatomy**



Wood Education Institute

### **Cell Structure: Anatomy**

Rays act as valves to distribute moisture between longitudinal cells



#### **Cell Structure: Interaction with Water**



Wood Education Institute

Water exists in wood in two forms: Free Water & Bound Water

<u>Fiber Saturation Point</u>: Point at which cell walls are completely saturated, cell cavities are empty (i.e. no free water but still has all its bound water)

# **Cell Structure: Moisture in Wood**

Shrinkage begins to occur when wood's moisture content drops below its fiber saturation point (bound water is removed).

Fiber Saturation Point is generally around MC 30%

$$MC = \frac{W_{wet} - W_{dry}}{W_{dry}} * 100\%$$

In the tree

evaporatio

Cut from the tree

Where:

MC = Moisture Content W<sub>wet</sub> = current weight of wood W<sub>dry</sub> = oven dry weight of wood

Wood is a hygroscopic material, meaning it has the ability to gain or lose moisture due to changes in its surrounding environment

# **Cell Structure: Moisture in Wood**

Shrinkage will continue to occur linearly until the wood's equilibrium moisture content (EMC) has been reached.

EMC is the point at which the wood is neither gaining nor losing moisture. However, this is a dynamic equilibrium as it is a function of temperature and relative humidity



Moisture Content of Wood at Various Temperatures and Relative Humidity															
Temperature (F)															
40	3.7	4.6	5.5	6.3	7.1	7.9	8.7	9.5	10.4	11.3	12.4	13.5	14.9	16.5	18.5
50	3.7	4.6	5.5	6.3	7.1	7.9	8.7	9.5	10.4	11.3	12.4	13.5	14.9	16.5	18.5
60	3.6	4.6	5.4	6.2	7.0	7.8	8.6	9.4	10.2	11.1	12.1	13.3	14.6	16.2	18.2
70	3.5	4.5	5.4	6.2	6.9	7.7	8.5	9.2	10.1	11.0	12.0	13.1	14.4	16.0	17.9
80	3.5	4.4	5.3	6.1	6.8	7.6	8.3	9.1	9.9	10.8	11.7	12.9	14.2	15.7	17.7
90	3.4	4.3	5.1	5.9	6.7	7.4	8.1	8.9	9.7	10.5	11.5	12.6	13.9	15.4	17.3
	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85
Relative Humidity (percent) Source: Wood Handbook, USDA Forest Servi								st Service							

Wood is orthotropic, meaning it behaves differently in its three orthogonal directions: Longitudinal (L), Radial (R), and Tangential (T) This is a direct result of the arrangement of wood cells



Shrinkage behavior and amount varies in each of the three orthogonal directions:

- Longitudinal
- Radial
- Tangential



- Longitudinal Shrinkage: Least amount of shrinkage by far, typically neglected (wood cells shortening in length)
- Radial Shrinkage: Shrinkage across growth rings, wood cell walls change thickness
- **Tangential Shrinkage**: Shrinkage along (tangential to) growth rings, twice as much as radial, wood cell walls change thickness



The difference in radial and tangential shrinkage can result in unequal shrinkage in a given member, depending on cut and grain orientation

Proper drying techniques, detailing, and specification account for this





# Wood Species & Grading: Necessity

Structural wood used in construction can vary in species and grade. This affects:

- Mechanical properties (strength)
- Decay resistance
- Visual appearance

Understanding species & grading provides framework for proper design & specification



The Bullitt Center Photo: John Stamets



Photo: Vanwoody.com



#### IBC 2303.1.1 Sawn Lumber

Sawn lumber used for load-supporting purposes...shall be identified by the grade mark of a lumber grading or inspection agency that has been approved by an accreditation body that complies with DOC PS 20 or equivalent. Grading practices and identification shall comply with rules published by an agency approved in accordance with the procedures of DOC PS 20 or equivalent procedures.



# **Referenced Standard & Grading Rules**

DOC PS 20: U.S. Department of Commerce Voluntary Product Standard PS 20-15 (American Softwood Lumber Standard)

Grading rules established by 7 agencies:

- National Lumber Grades Authority (Canada)
- Northeastern Lumber Manufacturers Association
- Northern Softwood Lumber Bureau •
- **Redwood Inspection Service** ۲
- Southern Pine Inspection Bureau
- West Coast Lumber Inspection Bureau
- Western Wood Products Association











American Lumber Standard Committee, Incorporated.

R.K. Caron, Citairman T.F. Brodie, Vice Chairman T.F. Brodie, Treasurer J.H. McDaniel, President

P.O. Box 210 ntown, Maryland 20875-0210 elephone: 301.972.1700 Fax: 301.540.8004 F-Mail: also@alsc.org

March 2015

(this list supersedes all previous lists

he following rules have been centrified as containing to the American Softwood Lumber Standard, PS20, by the board of Review of the American Lumber Standard Commillee

- Standard Grading Rules for Northeastern Lumber; published by The Northeastern Lumber Manufactures Association (NeUMA), 272 Tuttle Road, P.D. Box 87A. Cumberland Center, ME04021; phone 207 529,4901; fox 207 529 4293
- Pandard Grading Rules; published by the Northern Softwood Lumber Rureou (NSLB), 272 Tuttle Road, P.O. Box 67A, Cumberic nter. ME 04021: phone 207.829.6901; Tax 207.829.4293
- Standard Specifications for Grades at California Redwood Lumber: published by the Redwood Inspection Service (RS), 818 Grayst Road, Suite 201. Pleasant Hill, CA. 94503-2473; phone 925.935, 1499; fox 925.935, 1494.
- Standard Grading Rules for Southern Fine Lumber; published by the Southern Fine Inspection Burnou (SPI8), 4709 Scienic Highway ka, FL 32504; phone 850,434 2611; fax 850,433,5594
- Standard Grading Rules for West Coast Lumber; published by this West Coast Lumber inspection Bureau (WCLIB), 80x 23145 and. Dit 97281-3145: phone 503.639.0651 fax 503.684 8928
- Festern Lumber Grading Ryles: published by the Western Wood Products Association (WWPA), (500 SW Fist Avenue, Julie 670 ortiond, CR 97204-2122, phone 503.224.3750, fox 503.224.3934
- Mondard Gradina Rules for Canadian Lumber: published by the National Lumber Grades Authority (NLGA), Suite 105, (3401-1081 Avenue, Suitey, BC, V3T 313: phane 604,584,2393; Tax 604,384,2890.

Reference design values for lumber – given in Chapter 4 of AWC's NDS Supplement – are generated using the 7 sets of recognized grading rules as well as by using the provisions in one or more of the following:

- ASTM D1990: Standard Practice for Establishing Allowable Properties for Visually-Graded Dimension Lumber from In-Grade Tests of Full-Size Specimens
- ASTM D245: Standard Practice for Establishing Structural Grades and Related Allowable Properties for Visually Graded Lumber
- ASTM D2555: Standard Practice for Establishing Clear Wood Strength Values



#### **Reference Design Values**

**Reference Design Values**: The quantifiable mechanical properties that are associated with each identifiable commercial grade of wood



### **Reference Design Values**

Reference Design Values in NDS are given based on four main variables:

- Grading Method
- Species Group
- Commercial Grade
- Size Classification



# **Reference Design Values: Grading Methods**

**Grading**: The process of categorizing wood members into groups that have common material properties.

Grading methods:

- Visually Graded Lumber (VGL)
  - Grade is determined by visual inspection using the guidelines of the applicable grading rules
- Machine Stress Rated (MSR)
  - Automated, nondestructive method of grading in which the modulus of elasticity of a member is determined through an applied bending load
- Machine Evaluated Lumber (MEL)
  - Automated, nondestructive method of grading in which MSR lumber undergoes radiographic inspection to measure density

Notes:

NDS groups MSR & MEL into "Mechanically Graded Dimension Lumber"

MSR & MEL only apply to dimension lumber 2" thick







High Capacity Lumber Tester. www.metriguard.com

Wood Education Institute



## **Reference Design Values: Species**

Over 100 sawn lumber species are available and in use as structural members in construction

These species are grouped into 50 species combinations in NDS Supplement, Chapter 2, based similarities in mechanical properties

Note that Southern Pine reference design values are separate in Table 4B, all others are in Table 4A

Species or Species Combination	Species That May Be Included in Combination	Grading Rules Agencies	Design Values Provided in Tables
Douglas Fir-Larch	Douglas Fir	WCLIB	4A, 4C, 4D, 4E
~	Western Larch	WWPA	
Douglas Fir-Larch (North)	Douglas Fir	NLGA	4A, 4C, 4D, 4E
	Western Larch		
Douglas Fir-South		WWPA	4A, 4C, 4D, 4E
Eastern Hemlock		NELMA	4D
		NSLB	
Eastern Hemlock-Balsam Fir	Balsam Fir	NELMA	4A
	Eastern Hemlock		
	Tamarack		
Eastern Hemlock-Tamarack	Eastern Hemlock	NELMA	4A, 4D, 4E
	Tamarack	NSLB	
Eastern Hemlock-Tamarack (North)	Eastern Hemlock	NLGA	4D, 4E
	Tamarack		

# **Reference Design Values: Commercial Grade**

**Commercial Grade**: A function of the member's use and quality as defined in the Grading Rules

- Select Structural
- Dense No. 1
- No. 1
- No. 2
- No. 3
- Stud
- Construction
- Standard
- Utility


# **Reference Design Values: Commercial Grade**

Grading Rules define parameters for quality for various growth characteristics such as:

- Checks
- Knots
- Pitch & Pitch Streaks
- Pockets
- Shake
- Slope of Grain
- Stain
- Unsound Wood
- Wane
- Warp

**PITCH & PITCH STREAKS:** An accumulation of resinous material. If the material leaves well defined line, it is called a pitch streak.

**POCKET:** Well defined opening between the annual growth rings, usually contains pitch or bark.



**SHAKE:** Separation of grain between the growth rings, often extending along the board's face and sometimes below its surface.



Wood Education Institute



### **Reference Design Values: Commercial Grade**

Partial List of Grading Limitations				
Structural Light Framing – 2" to 4" thick, 2" to 4" wide Structural Joists and Plank – 2" to 4" thick, 5" and wider				
	Lumber Grade			
Characteristic	Select Structural	No. 1	No. 2	No. 3
Checks	Not limited	Not limited	Not limited	Not limited
Knots (largest allowed knot on the wide face edge)	%"/2" nom %"/4" nom	1⁄2"/2" nom 1"/4" nom	%"/2" nom 1¼"/4" nom	<sup>3</sup> ⁄4"/2" nom 1 <sup>3</sup> ⁄4"/4" nom
Manufacture	E	E	F	F
Shake	2 ft. long	2 ft. long	2 ft. long	1/3 the length
Slope of grain	1 in 12	1 in 10	1 in 8	1 in 4
Splits	Width of piece	Width of piece	1.5 x Width of piece	1/6 the length of piece

Standard 17 Grading Rules for West Coast Lumber 2004 Wood Education Institute



### **Reference Design Values: Size Classification**

Three size classifications: Dimension, Timber, & Boards

- **Dimension:** 2"-4" thick, 2" wide & wider
- **Timber:** 5"x5" & larger
- **Board:** 1" thick & thicker, 2" wide & wider



### **Reference Design Values: Examples**



### **Reference Design Values: Examples**



### **Reference Design Values: Examples**



### **Identification Stamps**

Stamp applied directly to a member that includes pertinent information (e.g. Lumber grading agency, species, grade, etc.) Required per IBC 2303.1.1



# **Identification Stamps**

Examples of Identification Stamps for Mechanically Graded Lumber



# **Specific Gravity**

Specific Gravity (SG), or density, is the weight or mass of wood divided by the volume of the specimen at a given moisture content

- Density generally expressed in  $lbs/ft^3$ ; SG generally expressed as a decimal (0.50) which is a comparison to the SG of water (SG = 1.0)
- Function of cell wall thickness, growth rate
- Generally, higher SG translates to higher structural properties

Eastern Spruce

Given in NDS Chapter 11

Species Combination	Specific <sup>1</sup> Gravity, G	Species Combinations of MSR and MEL Lumber	Specific <sup>1</sup> Gravity, G
Alaska Cedar	0.47	Douglas Fir-Larch	
Alaska Hemlock	0.46	E=1,900,000 psi and lower grades of MSR	0.50
Alaska Spruce	0.41	E=2,000,000 psi grades of MSR	0.51
Alaska Yellow Cedar	0.46	E=2,100,000 psi grades of MSR	0.52
Aspen	0.39	E=2,200,000 psi grades of MSR	0.53
Balsam Fir	0.36	E=2,300,000 psi grades of MSR	0.54
Beech-Birch-Hickory	0.71	E=2,400,000 psi grades of MSR	0.55
Coast Sitka Spruce	0.39	Douglas Fir-Larch (North)	
Cottonwood	0.41	E=1,900,000 psi and lower grades of MSR and MEL	0.49
Douglas Fir-Larch	0.50	E=2,000,000 psi to 2,200,000 psi grades of MSR and MEL	0.53
Douglas Fir-Larch (North)	0.49	E=2,300,000 psi and higher grades of MSR and MEL	0.57
Douglas Fir-South	0.46	Douglas Fir-Larch (South)	
Eastern Hemlock	0.41	E=1,000,000 psi and higher grades of MSR	0.46
Eastern Hemlock-Balsam Fir	0.36	Engelmann Spruce-Lodgepole Pine	
Eastern Hemlock-Tamarack	0.41	E=1,400,000 psi and lower grades of MSR	0.38
Eastern Hemlock-Tamarack (North)	0.47	E=1,500,000 psi and higher grades of MSR	0.46
Eastern Softwoods	0.36	Hem-Fir	
Faster Service	0.41	E=1 500 000 nei and lawar grades of MSP	0.43

E=1,500,000 psi and lower grades of MSR

#### Table 11.3.3A **Assigned Specific Gravities**

0.41

Density comparison: earlywood (ew) to latewood (lw)



Source: Wood Handbook, USDA Forest Service

### **Naturally Durable Species**

Some wood species, due to their composition and cell structure, are naturally resistant to insect damage and moisture or decay

• IBC 2304.12 provides requirements



# **Naturally Decay Resistant Species**

Natural Durability of North American Softwoods			
Species	Predominant in the Tree	Heartwood Durability	
Western Red Cedar	Heartwood	Durable	
Eastern White Cedar	Heartwood	Durable	
Yellow Cedar	Heartwood	Durable	
Redwood	Heartwood	Durable	
Douglas Fir	Heartwood	Moderately Durable	
Southern Pine	Sapwood	Moderately Durable	
Western Larch	Heartwood	Moderately Durable	
Tamarack (E. Larch)	Heartwood	Moderately Durable	
Western Hemlock	Heartwood	Slightly Durable	
Eastern Hemlock	Heartwood	Slightly Durable	
White Spruce	Heartwood	Slightly Durable	

Source: Canadian Wood Council

# **Species: Treating Wood**

Some wood species are easier to treat than others. The cell structure determines how permeable the wood is to chemicals

Pressure-treated Douglas-fir



Pressure-treated Southern Pine



Photo from University of Tennessee Forest Products Extension



# **Species: Treating Wood**

Incising is a method to increase chemical penetration





Incising is an aid in securing deeper and more uniform penetration of preservatives in western softwood species such as Douglas-fir, Hem-Fir and Spruce-Pine-Fir.

Image: Western Wood Preservers Institute

### **Species: Treating Wood**

Treatability of North American Softwoods				
Tree	Permeability	Permeability	Predominant in the	
	Sapwood	Heartwood	Tree	
Douglas Fir	2	4	Heartwood	
Western Hemlock	2	3	Heartwood	
Eastern Hemlock	2	4	Heartwood	
White Spruce	2	3-4	Heartwood	
Southern Pine	1	3	Sapwood	

- 1 Permeable
- 2 Moderately Impermeable
- 3 Impermeable
- 4 Extremely Impermeable

Sapwood generally more permeable for accepting treatment

Source: Canadian Wood Council

Common species used for FRT:

Douglas-fir	Spruce-pine-fir
Redwood	Spruce
White pine	Ponderosa Pine
Hem-fir	Western red cedar
Southern pine	White fir
Red pine	Western hemlock

# **Architecturally Exposed Species & Grades**

Some structural wood framing components are left exposed and integrated with the architectural features of the building. These applications often require a unique criteria for allowable visible wood characteristics. The species and grade selection are key

- Common species include: Douglas-Fir, Hemlock
- Common grades: No. 1 & Better, Select Structural
- Glulam has options for "Architectural Grade"

Indian Mountain Student Arts & Innovation Center Photo: Robert Benson Photography



# Softwood vs. Hardwood

- Almost all structural wood used in construction is softwood
- Names do not refer to mechanical properties or density. Some softwoods (e.g. Douglas-Fir) are harder (denser) than hardwoods (e.g. balsa)









Wood Education Institute

Hardwood Trees

Softwood Trees

Source: Wood Handbook, USDA Forest Service

### **Geographic Impacts: Growing Regions**



<sup>a</sup> Corporate land includes land held by timber investment management companies and real estate investment trusts.

Source: USDA Forest Service, Forest Inventory and Analysis

Source: USDA-Forest Service, Future of America's Forests and Rangelands: Forest Service 2010 Resources Planning Act Assessment

### **Geographic Impacts: Growing Regions**

#### US Timber Volume on Timber Land



Source: USDA-Forest Service, US Forest Resource Facts and Historical Trends FS-801. (2004).

# **Geographic Impacts: Growing Regions**



*Source: USDA-Forest Service,* Future of America's Forests and Rangelands: Forest Service 2010 Resources Planning Act Assessment Predominant Softwood Species by Region South: Southern Pine North: Mixed pine Spruce-fir **Rocky Mountain:** Juniper Fir-spruce-hemlock **Douglas-fir** Pacific Coast: Douglas-fir Ponderosa Pine

# **Geographic Impacts: Use & Availability**

Growing Regions have a large impact on the available species of framing lumber in an area

Common framing lumber species by region

- West: Douglas-fir, hem-fir, redwood
- Northeast: Spruce-pine-fir, hemlock
- South: Spruce-pine-fir

Some species are available in areas beyond their growing region for certain characteristics (e.g. douglas-fir for its aesthetics, southern pine for its treatability)

Local availability, transportation costs, structural requirements, and aesthetic properties all play a role







*Source: USGS, Digital Representations of Tree Species Range Maps* 



#### **Global Population Increase**



2050 = 9.9 billion people

2020 = 7.8 billion people

Source: www.prb.org

#### **New Buildings & Greenhouse Gases**



Buildings generate nearly **40%** of annual global greenhouse gas emissions (*building operations* + *embodied energy*)

Embodied energy: **11%** Concrete, iron, steel **~9%** 

Image: Architecture 2030

### **US Climate Policy**

In the absence of strong Federal Policy, states and municipalities have adopted their own regulations

- CA: Buy Clean California first US law to address embodied carbon in construction materials
  - GWP must not exceed set limits
  - Currently targets structural steel, steel rebar, glass, and mineral wool

Federal Policy is advancing under the Biden Administration:

- Rejoining the Paris Agreement
- Several first-week executive actions aimed at advancing zero-carbon technologies, increasing reforestation and carbon sequestration

### **Measuring Greenhouse Gases**

**Global Warming Potential (GWP)** was developed to allow comparisons of the global warming impacts of different gases. Specifically, it is a measure of how much energy the emissions of 1 ton of a gas will absorb over a given period of time, relative to the emissions of 1 ton of carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ). The time period usually used for GWP's is 100 years. (EPA)

	GWP
Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )	1
Methane (CH <sub>4</sub> )	28-36
Nitrous Oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O)	265-298
Fluorinated Gases	Thousands to Tens of Thousands

**Carbon Dioxide Equivalents (CO**<sub>2eq</sub>) = International standard practice is to express greenhouse gases in terms of  $CO_2$  equivalents

#### **Carbon vs CO<sub>2</sub>**



1 ton Carbon  $\neq$  1 ton CO<sub>2</sub> 1 ton Carbon = (44/12=) <u>3.67</u> tons CO<sub>2</sub>

### **Carbon Terms**

- **Embodied Carbon**: Carbon emissions associated with the entire life cycle of the building including harvesting, mining, manufacturing, transporting, installing, maintaining, decommissioning, and disposing/reuse of a material or product
- **Operational Carbon**: Carbon emissions associated with operating a building including power, heat, and cooling



### **Embodied Carbon**

- Primarily related to manufacturing of materials
- More significant than many people realize, has been historically overlooked
- Big upfront GHG "cost" which makes it a **good near-term target** for climate change mitigation





#### Embodied vs. Operational Energy Traditional Non-Wood Building

% Energy

100%

90%

80%

70%

60%

50%

40%

30%

20%

10%

2020

Operational

2030

2035

2040

2045

YEAR

2050

2055

2060

2025

2070

2065

Image: Gray Organschi Architecture

### **Embodied Energy vs Embodied Carbon**

#### **Embodied Energy:**

#### Amount of energy used to:

- Extract, harvest, mine resources
- Process and assemble materials
- Transport products
- Construct building
- Maintain and repair building
- Deconstruct building and dispose or recycle materials

#### **Embodied Carbon:**

#### **Carbon emissions resulting from:**

- Combustion of fuels to generate embodied energy
- Chemical reactions

#### Carbon emissions may be offset by:

- Carbon sequestration during growth or manufacturing\*
- \* Sequestered carbon may be included in embodied carbon calculation or considered separately.



### **Carbon Benefits of Wood**

- Less energy intensive to manufacture than steel or concrete
- Less fossil fuel consumed during manufacture
- Avoid process emissions
- Carbon storage in forests and promote forest health
- Extended carbon storage in products



Image: USDA US Forest Service

### **More Carbon Terms**

**Carbon Sequestration:** The process by which  $CO_2$  is **removed** from the atmosphere and deposited in solid or liquid form in oceans, living organisms, or land.

**Carbon Storage:** Carbon is **stored as a solid** in the form of plant material: roots, trunks, branches, stems, and leaves. It can continue to be stored in **wood building materials**.



Image: Dovetail Partners, Inc.

#### Carbon Storage Wood ≈ 50% Carbon (dry weight)



### **Long-Term Positive Effects**

		Energy effect	Carbon effect	Value-added effect
	Forest	Stores solar energy	Removes C from Atmosphere	Increases forest value; supplies wood
	Timber	Often local, short transit	C in raw material	Strengthens rural economies
	Lumber	Low embodied energy	Stores C; replaces materials w/ greater C impact	Supports energy independence; strengthens US Forestry
<b>(0</b> )	Wood structure	Low thermal conductivity & bridging	<b>Stores C</b> ; reduces insulation / GHG emissions	Cost effective & provides biophilic environment
	Modernization, refurbishment, urban densification	Lightweight & easy to transport	More C storage	Increasing use of prefab; saves resources & retains value
Ser 1	Demo, recycling, energy recovery	Low energy recycling or emissions neutral energy recovery	Extended C fixation due to recycling	Innovative solutions for circular economy

Source: Building with Wood – Proactive Climate Protection, Dovetail Partners, Inc.

#### Carbon Cycle Renewable Resource | Carbon Sequestration



Source: Building with Wood – Proactive Climate Protection, Dovetail Partners, Inc.




#### Where is Carbon Stored?

#### **Harvested Wood Pools**

- Harvested Wood Products
- Solid Waste Disposal Sites

#### **Forest Pools**

- Aboveground Biomass
- Belowground Biomass
- Dead Wood
- Litter or Forest Floor
- Soil Organic Carbon

Source: https://usaforests.org/



#### **Carbon Storage in Harvested Wood Products**

As of 2019, the carbon stock for Harvested **Wood Products in Use** in the conterminous 48 states is estimated at **1,521 Million Metric Tons**.



Carbon Stocks in Forest Land and Harvested Wood Pools, 2019



## Table 6-12: Forest Area (1,000 ha) and C Stocks in *Forest Land Remaining Forest Land* and Harvested Wood Pools (MMT C)

	1990	2005	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Forest Area (1,000 ha)	279,748	279,749	280,041	280,041	279,893	279,787	279,682
Carbon Pools (MMT C)							
Forest Ecosystem	51,527	53,886	55,431	55,592	55,746	55,897	56,051
Aboveground Biomass	11,833	13,484	14,561	14,672	14,780	14,884	14,989
Belowground Biomass	2,350	2,734	2,982	3,008	3,033	3,056	3,081
Dead Wood	2,120	2,454	2,683	2,707	2,731	2,753	2,777
Litter	3,662	3,647	3,638	3,639	3,639	3,640	3,641
Soil (Mineral)	25,636	25,639	25,640	25,640	25,637	25,637	25,638
Soil (Organic)	5,927	5,929	5,927	5,927	5,926	5,926	5,926
Harvested Wood	1,895	2,353	2,567	2,591	2,616	2,642	2,669
Products in Use	1,249	1,447	1,490	1,497	1,505	1,513	1,521
SWDS	646	906	1,076	1,094	1,112	1,129	1,148
Total C Stock	53,423	56,239	57,998	58,183	58,362	58,539	58,720

Notes: Forest area and C stock estimates include all Forest Land Remaining Forest Land in the conterminous 48 states

https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2020-04/documents/us-ghg-inventory-2020-main-text.pdf

#### **Harvested Wood Products**

- Solid sawn wood products have the lowest level of embodied energy.
- Wood products requiring more processing steps (for example, plywood, engineered wood products, flake-based products) require more energy to produce but still require significantly less energy than their non-wood counterparts.



Image: Weyerhaeuser



Image: LP Building Solutions



Image: Structurecraft



Image: Georgia-Pacific

Source: USFPL Wood Handbook; Wood as a Sustainable Building Material



#### Whole Building Life Cycle Analysis (WBLCA)

"Evaluation of the inputs, outputs, and potential environmental impacts... throughout its life cycle"

- WBLCA covers all stages in the life cycle of a building and its components
- Several tools available; various methodologies
- <u>https://www.thinkwood.com/education/calculate-</u> wood-carbon-footprint
- <u>https://www.thinkwood.com/blog/understanding-</u> the-role-of-embodied-carbon-in-climate-smartbuildings



#### WoodWorks Carbon Calculator

- Available at woodworks.org
- Estimates total wood mass in a building
- Relays **estimated** carbon impacts:
  - Amount of carbon stored in wood
  - Amount of greenhouse gas emissions avoided by choosing wood over a non-wood material







U.S. and Canadian forests grow this much wood in: 17 minutes



Carbon stored in the wood: 4,466 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>

Volume of wood used:



Avoided greenhouse gas emissions: 9,492 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>



TOTAL POTENTIAL CARBON BENEFIT: 13,958 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>

#### EQUIVALENT TO:



2,666 cars off the road for a year



Energy to operate a home for 1,186 years

http://www.woodworks.org/carbon-calculator-download-form/

#### Forest to Cities A Systemic Solution in Action



www.ForesttoCities.org

## **Multi-Story Considerations**

- Mid-Rise Construction Types & Structural Implications
- Accommodating Wood Shrinkage
- Gravity Design
- Lateral Design

### Marselle Condos, Seattle, WA



+ Mezzanine + Multi-Story Podium

## **Type V Construction**

All building elements are any allowed by code:

- Light-frame wood construction
- Mass Timber
- Hybrid

Multi-Family Occupancies:

- 4 stories
- 36,000 SF Per Floor
- 108,000 SF Total Bldg

Assumes VA Construction, NFPA13 Sprinklers



## **Type III Construction**

- Interior building elements are any allowed by code
- Exterior walls are fireretardant treated wood

Multi-Family Occupancies:

- 5 stories
- 72,000 SF Per Floor
- 216,000 SF Total Bldg Assumes IIIA Construction, NFPA13 Sprinklers



## **IBC Building Size Limits**



**Without Sprinklers** 

#### With Sprinklers

### With NFPA Sprinklers, IBC gives an allowable Heights and Area Increase

### Heights and Areas – IBC 2015

and and a low too		TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION										
CLASSIFICATION	SEE FOOTNOTES	TYPE I		TYPE II		TYPE III		TYPE IV	TYPE V			
		Α	В	A	В	A	В	HT	A	В		
	NS	UL	UL	15,500	8,500	14,000	8,500	15,000	11,500	5,500		
A-1	S1	UL	UL	62,000	34,000	56,000	34,000	60,000	46,000	22,000		
	SM	UL	UL	46,500	25,500	42,000	25,500	45,000	34,500	16,500		
	NS	UL	UL	15,500	9,500	14,000	9,500	15,000	11,500	6,000		
A-2	S1	UL	UL	62,000	38,000	56,000	38,000	60,000	46,000	24,000		
	SM	UL	UL	46,500	28,500	42,000	28,500	45,000	34,500	18,000		
	NS	UL	UL	21,500	12,500	18,500	12,500	20,500	14,000	9,000		
M	S1	UL	UL	86,000	50,000	74,000	50,000	82,000	56,000	36,000		
	SM	UL	UL	64,500	37,500	55,500	37,500	61,500	42,000	27,000		
	NS <sup>d, h</sup>			24,000	16,000	24,000	16,000	20,500	12,000	7,000		
D 1	\$13R	UL	UL									
K-1	S1	UL	UL	96,000	64,000	96,000	64,000	82,000	48,000	28,000		
	Tables i	n al u				and	inero	acad	0	21,000		
		nciui		for us	ase a of e	and	incre dors	ased	0	7,000		
R-2						-Pi III	NCIJ.		0	28,000		
	SM	UL	UL	72,000	48,000	72,000	48,000	61,500	36,000	21,000		

#### TABLE 506.2<sup>a, b</sup> ALLOWABLE AREA FACTOR ( $A_t = NS, S1, S13R$ , or SM, as applicable) IN SQUARE FEET

### **Mid-Rise Construction**



IBC Section 510.2: Podium



**Type III and IV Construction - IBC Section 602.3:** Fire-retardant-treated wood framing complying with Section 2303.2 shall be permitted within exterior wall assemblies of a 2-hour rating or less





## **FRT Wood Design Values**

NDS 2.3.4: Adjusted design values, including adjusted connection design values, for lumber and structural glued laminated timber pressuretreated with fire retardant chemicals shall be obtained from the company providing the treatment and redrying <u>service.</u>



### **FRT Wood Design Values**

FRT manufacturers provide reduction values in literature, ICC ESR's, etc.

**Example FRT manufacturer's ESR reduction values:** 

PROPERTY	PYRO-GL SERVIC	PYRO-GUARD <sup>®</sup> ROOF FRAMING, SERVICE TEMPERATURE TO 150° F/66° C,							
	Douglas fir	Southern pine	Other species	Douglas fir Climate Zone			Southern pine Climate Zone		
				Extreme fiber stress in bending, F <sub>b</sub>	0.97	0.91	0.88	0.90	0.93
Tension parallel to grain Ft	0.95	0.88	0.83	0.80	0.87	0.93	0.80	0.84	0.88
Compression parallel to grain, $F_c$	1.00	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.98	1.00	0.94	0.94	0.94
Horizontal shear Fv	0.96	0.95	0.93	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.92	0.93	0.94
Modulus of elasticity, E	0.96	0.95	0.94	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.95	0.95	0.95
Compression perp. to grain F <sub>cz</sub>	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Fasteners/connectors	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90

TABLE 2-DESIGN VALUE ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR PYRO-GUARD® TREATED LUMBER

## **FRT Wood Design Values**

Shear wall capacity reduction typically handled by increasing sheathing thickness per FRT manufacturer recommendations – or can reduce shearwall capacity by applying connector reduction factors

> When fire-retardant-treated plywood is used in a shear wall, the thickness must be one standard size thicker than that determined in the tabulated allowable shear values contained in Section 4.3 of ANSI/AWC Special Design Provisions for Wind and Seismic (SDPWS) or as shown in the tables referenced in Section 2306.3 of the IBC (2306.4 of the 2009 and 2006 IBC). Thickness to be used for FRT plywood compared to untreated plywood shear walls are shown below:

FRT Plywood Thickness (inches)	Untreated Plywood Thickness (inches)
<sup>3</sup> / <sub>8</sub>	<sup>5</sup> / <sub>16</sub>
7/ <sub>16</sub>	<sup>3</sup> /8
<sup>15</sup> / <sub>32</sub>	7/ <sub>16</sub>
1/2	<sup>15</sup> / <sub>32</sub>

## **Fire Ratings & Assembly Intersections**



#### IBC Tables 601 & 706.4

Note: FRT = Fire Retardant Treated

## **Intersection of Tested Assemblies**

- Many options are available for fire resistance tested floor assemblies and wall assemblies
- Code requirements for intersection details are not clear
- We must understand the <u>intent</u> of the code, provide a rationale that meets the code's intent, and utilize available information and testing results



AWC's DCA3 provides floor to wall intersection detailing options

Addresses both continuity provisions and requirements for FRT elements in exterior wall plane



#### Fire-Resistance-Rated Wood-Frame Wall and Floor/Ceiling Assemblies

#### **Building Code Requirements**

For occupancies such as stores, apartments, offices, and other commercial and industrial uses, building codes commonly require floor/ceiling and wall assemblies to be fire-resistance rated in accordance with standard fire tests. This document is intended to aid in the design of various wood-frame walls and woodframe floor/ceiling assemblies, where such assemblies are required by code to be fire-resistance-rated.

Depending on the application, wall assemblies may need to be fire-resistance-rated for exposure from either one side or both sides. Exterior walls are required to be rated for both interior and exterior fire exposure where the wall has a fire separation distance of 10 feet or less. For exterior walls with a fire separation distance of greater than 10 feet, the required fireresistance-rating applies only to exposure from the interior. The designer should note that some state and local building code amendments may require fire resistance rating for exposure from both sides of exterior walls, regardless of fire separation distance: however.

#### **Fire Tested Assemblies**

Fire-resistance-rated wood-frame assemblies can be found in a number of sources including the International Building Code (IBC), Underwriters Laboratories (UL) Fire Resistance Directory, Intertek Testing Services' Directory of Listed Products, and the Gypsum Association's Fire Resistance Design Manual (GA 600). The American Wood Council (AWC) and its members have tested a number of wood-frame fireresistance-rated assemblies (see photos). Descriptions of successfully tested lumber wall assemblies are provided in Table 1 for one-hour fire-resistance-rated wall assemblies and Table 2 for two-hour fire-resistancerated wall assemblies. Lumber shall be identified by the grade mark of a lumber grading or inspection agency that has been approved by an accreditation body that complies with the American Softwood Lumber Standard (PS 20). The fire-resistance-rated assemblies described in this document, as well as those listed in other sources are not species- or grade-specific unless specifically noted as such.

#### **Exterior Walls – Intersecting Floors**

Two-hour fire-resistance-rated exterior wall assembly, rated for exposure from interior side (and from exterior side as required by IBC 705.5)

FRTW wall framing (studs, plates, blocking, etc.)

Untreated wood rim board, designed to support full wall load (with a minimum thickness of 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub>" if wall is required to be rated from exterior per IBC 705.5)

Untreated wood blocking with minimum thickness of 11/8" (Case A), 13/4" (Case B) or 15/8" (Case C). Blocking must be designed to support full wall load if wall is required to be rated from exterior per IBC 705.5.

FRTW wall framing (studs, plates, blocking, etc.)

FRTW sheathing (as required)

Exterior fire protection (as required to achieve fire-resistance rating per IBC 705.5)

Two-hour fire-resistance-rated exterior wall assembly, rated for exposure from interior side (and from exterior side as required by IBC 705.5) Untreated wood or other approved material to fill gap between blocking and joist web (if I-joists are used) One-hour fire-resistance-rated floor / ceiling assembly made with untreated framing members and floor sheathing

Ceiling membrane (as required for one-hour floor assembly):

- Case A: Two layers of min <sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub>" Type X GWB or equivalent (used in conjunction with min 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub>" blocking)
- Case B: Two layers of min <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" Type X GWB or equivalent (used in conjunction with min 1<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" blocking)
- Case C: One layer of min <sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub>" Type X or Type C GWB (used in conjunction with min 1<sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub>" blocking and min 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" 2.5 pcf (nominal) mineral wool batt insulation resting on furring or resilient channels)

Figure 1A: Example detail for Type III-A exterior wall-floor intersection with rim board and blocking

#### **Exterior Walls – Intersecting Floors**

Two-hour fire-resistance-rated exterior wall assembly, rated for exposure from interior side

#### Methodology:

Fire-resistance for exposure from interior side:

- Case A: Minimum 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-inch-thick inner rim board plus two layers of minimum <sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub> in. Type X GWB in the ceiling membrane provides 2 hours of protection to the outer rim board, based on the NDS-calculated time for the char depth to reach the inner rim board / outer rim board interface plus 40 minutes for each layer of <sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub> in. Type X GWB (per IBC Table 722.6.2(1)).
- Case B: Minimum 1<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch-thick inner rim board plus two layers of minimum <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> in. Type X GWB in the ceiling membrane provides 2 hours of protection to the outer rim board, based on the NDS-calculated time for the char depth to reach the inner rim board / outer rim board interface plus 25 minutes for each layer of <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> in. Type X GWB (per IBC Table 722.6.2(1)).
- Case C: Minimum 1<sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-inch-thick inner rim board plus one layer of minimum <sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub> in. Type X GWB in the ceiling membrane plus minimum 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-inch-thick, 2.5 pcf (nominal) mineral wool batt insulation provides 2 hours of protection to the outer rim board, based on the NDS-calculated time for the char depth to reach the inner rim board / outer rim board interface, plus 40 minutes for the <sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub> in. Type X GWB (per IBC Table 722.6.2(1)), plus 15 minutes for the mineral wool insulation.

The outer rim board must be designed to support the load from the wall above.

<u>Fire-resistance for exposure from exterior side</u> (where required per IBC Section 705.5): A combination of exterior fire protection, FRTW sheathing, and minimum 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-inch-thick outer rim board is used to provide two hours of protection to the inner rim board. Layers to the exterior of the outer rim board (e.g., exterior fire protection, FRTW sheathing, etc.) must be sufficient to provide at least 80 minutes of protection to the outer rim board. The inner rim board must be designed to support the load from the wall above.

(and from exterior side as required by IBC 705.5)

#### Figure 1A: Example detail for Type III-A exterior wall-floor intersection with rim board and blocking

# WHY DOES Wood Shrink?

9

## **Shrinkage Resource**

Code provisions, detailing options, calculations and more for accommodating differential material movement in wood structures

Free resource at woodworks.org<sup>○</sup>

#### Accommodating Shrinkage in Multi-Story Wood-Frame Structures

Richard McLain, MS, PE, SE, Technical Director, WoodWorks . Doug Steimle, PE, Principal, Schaefer

In wood-frame buildings of three or more stories, cumulative shrinkage can be significant and have an impact on the function and performance of finishes, openings, mechanical/electrical/plumbing (MEP) systems, and structural connections. However, as more designers look to wood-frame construction to improve the cost and sustainability of their mid-rise projects, many have learned that accommodating wood shrinkage is actually very straightforward.

Wood is hygroscopic, meaning it has the ability to absorb and release moisture. As this occurs, it also has the potential to change dimensionally. Knowing how and where wood shrinks and swells helps designers detail their buildings to minimize related effects.

Wood shrinkage occurs perpendicular to grain, meaning that a solid sawn wood stud or floor joist will shrink in its crosssection dimensions (width and depth). Longitudinal shrinkage is negligible, meaning the length of a stud or floor joist will essentially remain unchanged. In multi-story buildings, wood shrinkage is therefore concentrated at the wall plates, floor and roof joists, and rim boards. Depending on the materials and details used at floor-to-wall and roof-to-wall intersections, shrinkage in light-frame wood construction can range from 0.05 inches to 0.5 inches per level.

This publication will describe procedures for estimating wood shrinkage and provide detailing options that minimize its effects on building performance.

#### Wood Science & Shrinkage

Understanding the cellular structure of wood allows us to understand how moisture and wood interact and identify the paths that moisture typically travels. Within wood, moisture is present in two forms; (1) free water in cell cavities, and (2) bound water in cell walls. Simplistically, wood's cellular structure can be imagined as a bundle of drinking straws held together with a rubber band, with each straw representing



Wood PRODUCTS COUNCIL

The Brooklyn Riverside Jacksonville, Florida Architect: Dwell Design Studio Structural Engineer: M2 Structural Engineering

Photo: Polack Storeg, Maria Resoluctal

a longitudinal cell in the wood. Water can be free water stored in the straw cavity or bound water absorbed by the straw walks. At high moisture contents, water exists in both locations. As the wood dries, the free water is released from the cell cavities before the bound water is released from the cell walls. When wood has no free water and yet the cell walls still saturated, it is said to be at its fiber saturation point (FSP). Imagine a sponge that has just been taken out of a bucket filled with water. As the sponge is lifted from the bucket, water comes out of the pores. When the sponge is squeezed, more water comes out of the pores. The moment when no water can be squeezed out of the sponge but yet it still feels damp is analogous to the FSP. The moisture retained in the sponge is the bound water and water that has been squeezed out is the free water.

## **Shrinkage Code Requirements**

**2304.3.3 Shrinkage.** Wood walls and bearing partitions shall not support more than two floors and a roof unless an analysis satisfactory to the building official shows that shrinkage of the wood framing will not have adverse effects on the structure or any plumbing, electrical or mechanical systems, or other equipment installed therein due to excessive shrinkage or differential movements caused by shrinkage. The analysis shall also show that the roof drainage system and the foregoing systems or equipment will not be adversely affected or, as an alternative, such systems shall be designed to accommodate the differential shrinkage or movements.



## **Shrinkage Design Considerations**



## Shrinkage Calculations

Three variables influence amount of shrinkage:

- Installed moisture content (MC)
- In-service equilibrium moisture content (EMC) Cumplative thickness of eross-grain wood contribut shrinkase

used in commercial construction have similar shrinkage propertie

## **Shrinkage Calculations**

#### Initial or Installed moisture content (MC)

- Typically specified by Structural EoR
- 19% max MC is common marked as KD, KD19 or MC19
- Green (>19% MC), or 15% max MC also available in select markets
- Important to keep in mind this is the MC when it is manufactured
- MC at time of finish install can be much higher or lower



### Shrinkage Calculations – Cross Grain Wood

Shrinkage occurs in cross-grain, but not longitudinal, wood dimensions

- Primarily in horizontal members
- Wall plates
- Floor/rim joists
- Engineering judgement required when determining what to include in shrinkage zone
- Should Sheathing, I-Joists, Trusses, other products manufactured with low MC be included?



### Shrinkage Calculations – Cross Grain Wood

In parallel chord trusses, only chords contribute to shrinkage, vertical and diagonal webs don't



### **Shrinkage Calculations – Running the Numbers**

Simplified Method Shrinkage Equation:

S = 0.0025 in / inch of cross grain wood / % MC change

Let's run Through an Example: 13.75" shrinkage zone Installed MC = 19% EMC = 12%

(note: Negative value due to loss in cross section)



## **Minimizing Shrinkage**


### **Minimizing Shrinkage – Detailing**



Images: Schaefer

### **Minimizing Shrinkage – Detailing**

#### **Platform Detail:**

15.75" Shrinkage Zone 19% MC Initial 12% EMC

S = (0.0025)(15.75")(12-19) = **0.28**"

5-story building: **1.4" total** 

#### Semi-Balloon Detail:

4.5" Shrinkage Zone19% MC Initial12% EMC

S = (0.0025)(4.5")(12-19) = **0.08**"

5-story building: 0.4" total

## **Minimizing Shrinkage - Detailing**

### Semi-balloon framing:

- Incorporates floor framing hanging from top plates
- Floor framing/rim joist doesn't contribute to shrinkage

Non-standard stud lengths and increased hardware requirements should be considered



Need to consider differential movement between wood frame elements and other materials that...

- Expand due to moisture or thermal changes
- Do not change with moisture but do change with thermal fluctuations
- Shrink much less than wood







### **Differential Movement**

#### Wood Framing & Veneer:

- Veneer Type Transitions
- Openings (Sill, Head, Jambs)





### **Differential Movement – Veneer Transition**



Image: Schaefer

### **Differential Movement – Veneer Opening**





Images: Schaefer

MEP main runs often start at base or top of structure, extend throughout height, with horizontal tees at each floor.

Horizontal tees often installed in wood stud partitions



Wood framing shrinks, vertical MEP runs remain stationary or expand with thermal fluctuations

Differential movement should be allowed for

Helpful to wait as late as possible after wood framing is erected to install MEP

Note anticipated wood shrinkage at each level on construction documents – MEP contractor should provide methods of accommodating



- Vertically slotted holes in studs allow differential movement
- Verify structural adequacy of studs





Image: Louisiana-Pacific Corporation

NOTE: ENGINEER SHALL **REVIEW LOADING CONDITIONS** ON WALL FOR ALLOWABLE SIZE OF PENETRATION

Image: Schaefer

### **Oval cutout options for Horizontal Pipe**



A variety of expansion or slip joint connectors are available – allow vertical MEP runs to move with the wood structure







### **Vertical Stacks – Compensation Devices Installed**



## **GRAVITY LOAD DESIGN**

## 2 Topics Frequently Come Up When Discussing Multi-Story Wood Building Gravity Design

- Floor Framing Design
  - Vibration & Acoustics
- Wall Design
  - Bearing versus Non-Bearing



### **Structural Floor Design**



Common Wood Floor Assembly:

LW Concrete Topping Acoustical Mat Wood Floor Sheathing Wood Trusses/I-joists Batt Insulation Resilient Channel Gypsum Ceiling

### **Structural Floor Design - Vibration**

# The code is silent on floor vibration criteria & analysis

TABLE 1604.3 DEFLECTION LIMITS<sup>a, b, c, h, i</sup>

CONSTRUCTION	L	S or W <sup>f</sup>	D + L <sup>d, g</sup>
Roof members: <sup>e</sup> Supporting plaster or stucco ceiling Supporting nonplaster ceiling Not supporting ceiling	<mark>//360</mark> //240 //180	<mark>//360</mark> //240 //180	//240 //180 //120
Floor members	//360	-	//240
Exterior walls and interior partitions: With plaster or stucco finishes With other brittle finishes With flexible finishes	=	//360 //240 //120	2
Farm buildings		-	//180
Greenhouses	-	-	//120

### **Structural Floor Design - Vibration**



### Where can I find criteria for vibration control for wood members?

- Dolan and Woeste developed some information on controlling vibration published in Structural Engineer magazine.
- APA Technical Note called *Minimizing Floor Vibration by Design and Retrofit* http://www.apawood.org/SearchResults.aspx?q=E710&tid=1
- Wood Design Focus paper by Dolan and Kalkert called "Overview of Proposed Wood Floor Vibration Design Criteria" (Vol. 5, #3).

http://www.forestprod.org/buy\_publications/wood\_design\_focus\_past\_articles.php#volume5

### **Structural Floor Design - Vibration**

### IS A "SPRING IN YOUR STEP" CAUSING PROBLEMS?

June 2007 » Feature Article

Annoying vibration is probably the most common performance complaint for light-frame wood floors.

Frank Woeste, Ph.D., P.E., and Daniel Dolan, Ph.D., P.E.

Recommendations to minimize annoying wood-floor vibrations

Annoying vibration is probably the most common perform Code Council's 2006 International Residential Code (IRC) this issue, yet the engineer-of-record for a project may fac engineer may be engaged to determine the cause of an ai under the prescriptive provisions of the IRC. While wood f deserves attention by the design professional at the desig impossible to fix.





👎 🗾 📴 in 🗃 📼 🥽

### **Floor Design: Occupant Comfort**

#### Vibration & Deflection Control

Multi-family floor spans in the 24'-30' range work well from a layout perspective. Floor design of wood members in this span range are often governed by vibration and/or deflection control, not structural capacity.



Live Load Deflection Chart, Courtesy: Redbuilt

### **Floor Design: Occupant Comfort**

### Tools available to designers

#### Vibration Analysis: FP Innovations (Spreadsheet available upon request)



solution efficiently improves sound insulation of light frame floors, it makes normal walk-induced vibrations more perceivable than with the floors without the topping. Currently, more than half of the housing market in Canada is multi-family construction. As more multi-family light frame wood buildings are being built, more and more complaints about excessive feelable vibrations through concrete topped wood joist floors are being received. This paper explains the myths behind this phenomenon, and more importantly, sheds some lights on available solutions.

KEYWORDS: Light frame, multi-family building, wood joist floor, concrete topping, noise control, vibration control

#### Joist Manufacturer's Rating Systems





EDUCATION

GALLERY & AWARDS

DESIGN & TOOLS

PUBLICATIONS & MEDIA

WHY WOOD? \*

SHARE

ABOUT \*

Home > All Expert Tips

#### What methods exist for checking floor vibration of lightframe wood structures?

Vibration of light-frame wood floor construction can be a significant occupant comfort issue. However, achieving acceptable levels of floor vibration is not a code requirement. As such, it is possible to design a codecompliant wood floor structure that produces annoying or unacceptable levels of vibration due to standard foot traffic.

A variety of factors can affect a floor's vibration performance, including:

- Presence of concrete topping or other massing materials
- Thickness/stiffness of floor sheathing
- Stiffness, spacing and span of floor joists/trusses
- Presence, size and spacing of blocking/bridging/strong backs
- Presence of direct-applied ceiling
- Stiffness of joist supporting elements (i.e., beams, bearing walls)
- Presence of partition walls

Several vibration analysis methods have been published, each of which takes into account some or all of these variables.



#### support from design through construction, on issues ranging from allowable heights and areas to structural design, lateral systems and fire- or acoustical-rated assemblies.

**Project Assistance** 

#### Get Assistance >

#### Ask an Expert

Q: When is blocking/bracing within wood-frame walls required? What is considered adequate bracing for woo wall studs in their weak axis?

Our technical experts offer free project

A: Wood studs used in light-frame wa construction may require horizontally oriented blocking for a number of reasons—including blocking at shear panel edges, fire blocking, and buckling restraint when subject to axi loads. Structural Blocking Purposes Blocking to Reduce Stud Slenderness Ratio Section 3

#### <u>- Learn More</u> Have a question? Email Us >

#### **Feature Project**



## **Acoustical Design**

#### <u>Air-Borne Sound</u>: Sound Transmission Class (STC)

Measures how effectively an assembly isolates air-borne sound and reduces the level that passes from one side to the other

Applies to walls and floor/ceiling assemblies

## <u>Structure-borne sound</u>: Impact Insulation Class (IIC)

Evaluates how effectively an assembly blocks impact sound from passing through it Only applies to floor/ceiling assemblies





### Acoustical Criteria – IBC 1206

Code requirements only address residential occupancies: For unit to unit or unit to public or service areas:

#### Min. STC of 50 (45 if field tested):

• Walls, Partitions, and Floor/Ceiling Assemblies

Min. IIC of 50 (45 if field tested) for:

• Floor/Ceiling Assemblies



### **Choosing Acoustically Rated Assemblies**

Common tested assemblies: **STC:** ASTM E90, per IBC 1206.2 **IIC:** ASTM E492, per IBC 1206.3

- Manufacturers of gypsum, insulation, acoustical products (proprietary tests)
- UL Listings
- Gypsum Catalog
- Industry associations: AWC, APA, others
- Reach out to WoodWorks!

Alternate Method: IBC 1206.2 & 1206.3

 Both STC and IIC may be "established by engineering analysis based on a comparison of floor-ceiling assemblies having [STC/IIC] ratings as determined by the test procedures."



### **Acoustical Detailing**





### **Exterior Wall – Bearing vs. Non Bearing**

Non loading-bearing exterior walls may have lower fire resistance rating requirements than bearing walls in certain situations. IBC Chapter 2 defines load bearing walls as:

[BS] WALL, LOAD-BEARING. Any wall meeting either of the following classifications:

 Any metal or wood stud wall that supports more than 100 pounds per linear foot (1459 N/m) of vertical load in addition to its own weight.

[BS] WALL, NONLOAD-BEARING. Any wall that is not a *load-bearing wall*.

### **Exterior Walls – Bearing vs. Non-Bearing**

Utilization of structural beams in-board or directly over exterior walls can make walls non-bearing and reduce required fire resistance rating to 1 HR or 0 HR (IBC Table 602)

Note: Beams & Columns will most likely be considered "Primary Structural Frame" & require individual encasement per IBC 704



### **Exterior Walls – Bearing vs. Non-Bearing**

- If framing parallel to long exterior walls is possible, minimizes area of load bearing <u>exterior</u> walls
- Alternatively, framing from corridor to exterior wall may reduce length of load bearing walls & associated fire resistance ratings



### **Bearing Wall Studs: Stacking Loads**

In mid-rise structures, bearing wall loads accumulate – may result in increased stud requirements at lower levels

Example: 5 Story Building, Exterior Bearing Wall Supports 28' Span Trusses

Roof: DL = 20 psf, SL = 40 psf Floor: DL = 30 psf, LL = 40 psf Wall: DL = 10 psf

Total Bearing Wall Load at Lowest Level = 4650 plf or 6200 lbs per stud @ 16" o.c.

May need 2-2x6 studs @ 16" o.c.



### **Bearing Wall Studs: Stacking Loads**

Options for lower level, stacked bearing wall studs:

- Specify SP or DF plates up to 40% increase in allowable loads
  - Fc perp= 565 psi to 625psi
- Specify LSL or LVL plates 75% increase in capacity
- Decrease stud spacing from 16" o.c. to 12" o.c. 33% increase in capacity
- Double studs 100% increase in capacity
- Increase the depth of the wall 2x6 at upper, 2x8 at lower
- Add interior bearing walls at lower levels



### **Bearing Wall Studs: Stacking Loads**

If Type III Exterior Walls:

Include FRT capacity reduction for Fc perp on wall plates Manufacturers reduction values can vary between 5% and 13%

MONITORED BY MONITORED BY MONIT	CANVILC STORM TREATED LUMBER 15P9 RT002 SOUTHERN YELLOW PINE SURFACE BURMING CHARACTERISTICS FLAMESPREAD 10 EMOKE DEVELOPED 35
TREATED WOOD PRODUCTS INC.	ci us
TIMBER PRODUCTS INSPECTION	CANULC STORM TREATED LUMBER 1599 F 102 SOUTHERN VELLOW PINE

## **LATERAL LOAD DESIGN**



### **Multi-Story Wind Load Design**



#### WIND SURFACE LOADS ON WALLS
### **Multi-Story Wind Load Design**



STUDS RESIST SURFACE LOADS IN BENDING, STUD REACTIONS DISTRIBUTE SURFACE LOADS TO DIAPHRAGMS

### **Multi-Story Wind Load Design**



### **Multi-Story Wind Load Design**



#### Uplift – Outward (suction) force acting on roof



Load path - roof to foundation required unless dead load is greater than uplift

### **Multi-Story Seismic Load Design**

#### SEISMIC FORCES A FUNCTION OF STRUCTURE MASS, SITE SPECIFIC COEFFICIENTS (BASED ON SOIL PROPERTIES), IMPORTANCE FACTOR



### **Multi-Story Seismic Load Design**



#### **Design Example: Five Over One Wood Frame**

Covers lateral design approaches, seismic emphasis



Free download at woodworks.org

#### **Multi-Story Lateral Design**



#### Floor Plan

#### **Multi-Story Lateral Design**



#### **Multi-Story Lateral Design**



**Shearwall Layout** 

### **Components of Shear Wall Design**



Shear Resistance

### **Components of Shear Wall Design**



## **Story to Story Compression Force Transfer**





#### Notes for Figure 13: Detail A (at platform framed) may have a single block with a drilled hole for the tie-down rod (see Figure 15).

#### **Increasing Compression Post Size**

Figure 10. Example Plan Section at Boundary Members



#### **Overturning Tension**



### **Using Dead Load to Resist Overturning**

ASD Load Combinations of ASCE 7-16: <u>0.6D</u> + 0.6W <u>0.6D</u> + 0.7E



Dead load from above (Wall, Floor, Roof) can be used to resist some or all overturning forces, depending on magnitude



### Threaded Rod Tie Down w/Take Up Device



Source: hardyframe.com

#### Threaded Rod Tie Down w/o Take Up Device



## **Diaphragm Modeling Methods**



## **Diaphragm Modeling Methods**



## **Rigid or Flexible Diaphragm?**

#### Light Frame Wood Diaphragms often default to Flexible Diaphragms

#### Code Basis: ASCE 7-10 26.2 Definitions (Wind)

Diaphragms constructed of wood structural panels are permitted to be idealized as flexible

#### Code Basis: ASCE 7-10 12.3.1.1 (Seismic)

Diaphragms constructed of untopped steel decking or wood structural panels are permitted to be idealized as flexible if any of the following conditions exist: [...]

c. In structures of light-frame construction where all of the following conditions are *met*:

1. Topping of concrete or similar materials is not placed over wood structural panel diaphragms except for nonstructural topping no greater than 1 1/2 in. thick.

2. Each line of vertical elements of the seismic force resisting system complies with the allowable story drift of Table 12.12-1..





## **Can a Rigid Diaphragm be Justified?**

ASCE 7-16 does not provide a method to idealize a diaphragm as rigid via calculation.

#### IBC 2018 1604.4 Analysis (See also SDPWS 4.2.2 & 4.3.2)

A diaphragm is **rigid** for the purpose of distribution of story shear and torsional moment when the **lateral deformation of the diaphragm is less than or equal to two times the average story drift.** 



## **Rigid Diaphragm Analysis**

#### Some Advantages of Rigid Diaphragm

- More load (plf) to longer interior/corridor walls
- Less load (plf) to narrow walls where overturning restraint is tougher
- Can tune loads to walls and wall lines by changing stiffness of walls

#### Some Disadvantages of Rigid Diaphragm

- Considerations of torsional loading necessary
- More complicated calculations to distribute load to shear walls
- May underestimate "Real" loads to narrow exterior walls
- Justification of rigid assumption



#### **Cantilevered Diaphragms in SDPWS 2015**

#### Open Front Structure with a Cantilevered Diaphragm



AWC SDPWS 2015 Figure 4A

## **Seismic Design – Podium Projects**

ASCE 7-16 Section 12.2.3.2 permits seismic analysis of structure above and below podium separately under certain conditions. Beneficial as upper portion (wood) typ. has **R** = 6.5 and lower portion (concrete/steel) typ. has R < 6.5. This inverse analysis only allowed per this special provision

**12.2.3.2 Two-Stage Analysis Procedure.** A two-stage equivalent lateral force procedure is permitted to be used for structures having a flexible upper portion above a rigid lower portion, provided the design of the structure complies with all of the following:

- a. The stiffness of the lower portion shall be at least 10 times the stiffness of the upper portion.
- b. The period of the entire structure shall not be greater than 1.1 times the period of the upper portion considered as a separate structure supported at the transition from the upper to the lower portion.
- c. The upper portion shall be designed as a separate structure using the appropriate values of R and  $\rho$ .
- d. The lower portion shall be designed as a separate structure using the appropriate values of R and  $\rho$ . The reactions from the upper portion shall be those determined from the analysis of the upper portion amplified by the ratio of the  $R/\rho$  of the upper portion over  $R/\rho$  of the lower portion. This ratio shall not be less than 1.0.
- e. The upper portion is analyzed with the equivalent lateral force or modal response spectrum procedure, and the lower portion is analyzed with the equivalent lateral force procedure.

#### **Discontinuous Shear Walls**



Karuna I Holst Architecture Photo: Terry Malone

### **Offset Shear Wall Overturning Resistance**



## **Shear Wall to Podium Slab Interface**



ASCE 7-16 Section 12.3.3.3 and Commentary C12.3.3.3 provides guidance on seismic load requirements for various elements supporting discontinuous shear walls



#### Discontinuous Shear wall system at **Podium Slab**

# Thank You



A

UW MercerCourt, credit WG Clark Construction and Ankrom Moisan Architects

credit www.naturallywood.com

Cathedral of Christ the Light Skidmore, Owings & Merrill LLP Cesar Rubio Photography