Designing and Building with Mass Timber: Design, Planning and Performance

Presented by: Chelsea Drenick, SE Brandon Brooks, MBA, PMP December 9, 2022

> 133,427 SF Office + PDR 415-445-8888

Last Beldest

Auden "Dilg"

WOODWORKS

The Seminar will begin at **2PM PST** 

# Designing a wood building? Ask us anything.

FREE PROJECT SUPPORT / EDUCATION / RESOURCES

Nationwide support for the code-compliant design, engineering and construction of non-residential and multi-family wood buildings.

- Allowable Heights/Areas
- Construction Types
- Structural Detailing
- Wood-Framed & Hybrid Systems
- Fire/Acoustic Assemblies

- Lateral System Design
- Alternate Means of Compliance
- Energy-Efficient Detailing
- Building Systems & Technologies

woodworks.org/project-assistance | help@woodworks.org



## Design Professionals: One-on-One Support & Assistance

#### PROJECT SUPPORT FIELD DIVISION



## **Questions?** Ask me anything.



# Chelsea Drenick, SE

Regional Director | CA-North, NV, UT

303.588.1300

chelsea.drenick@woodworks.org

901 East Sixth, Thoughtbarn-Delineate Studio, Leap!Structures, photo Casey Dunn





Funding Partners





2022 Board Partners -



2022 Industry Advantage Partners -



## Resources

### WOOD SOLUTION PAPERS

### Acoustics and Mass Timber: Room-to-Room Noise Control

Covers key aspects of mass timber acoustical design. Companion to WoodWorks' Inventory of Acoustically-Tested Mass Timber Assemblies



### Mass Timber Cost and Design Optimization Checklists

Guides coordination between designers and builders (GCs, construction managers, estimators, fabricators, installers, etc.) as they estimate and make cost-related decisions on mass timber projects

# Upcoming Events

#### NATIONAL ONLINE

**Light Wood-Frame Shaft Wall Detailing for Code Compliance and Constructability | December 13** 1.5 AIA/CES HSW LUs, 1.5 PDH credits, 0.15 ICC credits

Mass Timber Shafts and Shaft Wall Solutions for Mass Timber Buildings | December 15 1.5 AIA/CES HSW LUs, 1.5 PDH credits, 0.15 ICC credits

Visit woodworks.org/tools-guides/ for many more resources.

# Mass Timber Business Case Studies

## Real financial information on real deals

- Prepared by WoodWorks and Conrad Investment Management
- Include qualitative influences + quantitative data to examine investment success

#### PROPERTY SUB-TYPES:

For-Rent Institutional Housing • Institutional Offices • Industrial Buildings • Redevelopment/Additions • Purpose-Built Owner/Occupied (Student Housing)





« Scan the code to download the current package.

## New for GCs and installers: U.S. Mass Timber Construction Manual





Download free at woodworks.org



# **Resources from WoodWorks**

### Whole Building Life Cycle Assessment (WBLCA)

» Introduction to Whole Building Life Cycle Assessment: The Basics

### **Biogenic Carbon and Carbon Storage**

- » When to Include Biogenic Carbon in an LCA
- » How to Include Biogenic Carbon in an LCA
- » Biogenic Carbon Accounting in WBLCA Tools
- » Long-Term Biogenic Carbon Storage
- » Calculating the Carbon Stored in Wood Products

### **Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs)**

- » Current EPDs for Wood Products
- » How to Use Environmental Product Declarations



## **Current State of Mass Timber Projects**

As of September 2022, in the US, **1,571** multi-family, commercial, or institutional projects have been constructed with, or are in design with, mass timber.



## Ask Questions through the Q&A Box



Submit online questions in the Q&A box at the bottom of your screen as they come up in the presentation. We will get to as many questions as possible.



"The Wood Products Council" is a Registered Provider with The American Institute of Architects Continuing Education Systems (AIA/CES), Provider #G516.

Credit(s) earned on completion of this course will be reported to AIA CES for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for both AIA members and non-AIA members are available upon request. This course is registered with AIA CES for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product.

Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.



# **Course Description**

How can architects, engineers and contractors collaborate to meet the growing demand for mass timber buildings? While developers across the country are pursuing mass timber projects, knowledge among AEC professionals is not yet widespread. Firms have varying degrees of familiarity with both the products and practicalities of designing, sourcing, and building a modern mass timber structure, and early adopters continue to play a significant role in educating the rest of the community. This presentation seeks to build on this openness and environment of shared learning, providing an overview of mass timber products, planning, design and implementation to maximize the benefits these buildings can deliver. We'll also discuss why some mass timber projects face resistance, and how to overcome misconceptions to achieve success. Topics will also include preconstruction coordination and interactions between the manufacturer and design/construction teams, case-based approaches to costing and scheduling, project delivery methods, how to achieve the highest level of efficiency for costs, schedule, and performance, and additional education and training opportunities.

# Learning Objectives

- 1. Identify project planning, coordination and design topics that translate into successful buildings for both the design and construction team.
- 2. Explore best practices for interaction between manufacturer, design team and preconstruction manager that can lead to cost efficiency and safety on site.
- **3**. Discuss potential construction schedule savings and construction fire safety practices realized through the use of prefabricated mass timber elements.
- 4. Discuss benefits of using mass timber products, including structural versatility, prefabrication, lighter carbon footprint, and reduced labor costs.



# **Continuing Education Credits**

- Participants will receive a certificate of completion via email
- AIA credits will be processed by WoodWorks

- To receive credit and a certificate, attendees must stay on for the duration of the seminar.
- Group attendee form can be found at <u>www.woodworks.org/webinar</u>

## PRESENTATION OUTLINE

MASS TIMBER DESIGN Products, Framing Solutions & Project Examples Code Considerations Cost Implications of Design Decisions MEP Layout & Integration Insurance Considerations

## MASS TIMBER CONSTRUCTION

Planning for Construction Performing Construction Workforce Development

#### OVERVIEW | TERMINOLOGY







Light-Frame Wood Photo: WoodWorks

Heavy Timber Photo: Benjamin Benschneider

Mass Timber Photo: John Stamets Glue Laminated Timber (Glulam) Beams & columns Cross-Laminated Timber (CLT) Solid sawn laminations

#### Cross-Laminated Timber (CLT) SCL laminations







Photo: Freres Lumber







#### Dowel-Laminated Timber (DLT)



Photo: StructureCraft





Glue-Laminated Timber (GLT) Plank orientation



Photo: Think Wood

Photo: StructureCraft



## Mass Timber Building Options



**Post and Beam** 

Flat Plate

# Honeycomb

## Mass Timber Building Options



## **Hybrid: Light-frame**

## **Hybrid: Steel framing**

#### Nail-Laminated Timber (NLT)









Image Credit: Ema Peter

#### **PRECEDENT PROJECTS** | T3 MINNEAPOLIS

### Dowel-Laminated Timber (DLT)







Photos: StructureCraft

Photo: Hartshorne Plunkard Architecture

PRECEDENT PROJECTS | T3 ATLANTA

### Cross-Laminated Timber (CLT)



Cross-Laminated Timber (CLT)

With solid sawn laminations





# ONE DE HARO

4 STORIES SAN FRANCISCO, CA 130,000 SIGNIFICANT SAVINGS ON FOUNDATION COSTS WITH MASS TIMBER





# THE CANYONS PORTLAND, OR

# ASCENT, MILWAUKEE

Photo: Korb & Associates Architects | Architect: Korb & Associates Architects

# 493,000 SF 259 APARTMENTS, MIXED-USE

# ASCENT, MILWAUKEE

## **Tallest Mass Timber Building in the World**



Photo: CD Smith Construction | Architect: Korb & Associates Architects

# **ALBINA YARD**



4 STORIES 16,000 SF GREEN ROOF



ARCHITECT: LEVER ARCHITECTURE IMAGE CREDIT: LEVER ARCHITECTURE

# 80 M ST, WASHINGTON, DC

# **3 STORY VERTICAL ADDITION 7 STORY EXISTING BUILDING**

Photo: WoodWorks Architect: Hickok Cole

Cross-Laminated Timber (CLT)

With SCL laminations







PROJECT ONE

OAKLAND, CA


**OVERVIEW** | MANUFACTURING

PHOTO CREDIT: DR JOHNSON

(DANGEOR)

0----0

# MASS TIMBER IN THE CODE

# MASS TIMBER PRODUCTS

#### CROSS-LAMINATED TIMBER (CLT)

# IN 2018 IBC, CLT IS NOW DEFINED IN CHAPTER 2 DEFINITIONS:

**[BS] CROSS-LAMINATED TIMBER.** A prefabricated engineered wood product consisting of not less than three layers of solid-sawn lumber or *structural composite lumber* where the adjacent layers are cross oriented and bonded with structural adhesive to form a solid wood element.

## AND IS REFERENCED IN CHAPTER 23:

2303.1.4 Structural glued cross-laminated timber. Crosslaminated timbers shall be manufactured and identified in accordance with ANSI/APA PRG 320.



Mass Timber in Low- to Mid-Rise: 1-6 Stories in Construction Types III, IV or V



#### BUILDING CODE APPLICATIONS | CONSTRUCTION TYPE

Tall Mass Timber: Up to 18 Stories in Construction Types IV-A, IV-B or IV-C



# How do you design a mass timber building?

One *potential* design route:

- 1. Building size & occupancy informs construction type & grid
- 2. Construction type informs fire resistance ratings
- 3. Grid & fire resistance ratings inform timber member sizes & MEP layout



### **Construction Type –** Primarily based on building size & occupancy

	Construction Type (All Sprinklered Values)								
	IV-A	IV-B	IV-C	IV-HT	III-A	III-B	V-A	V-B	
Occupancies	Allowable Building Height above Grade Plane, Feet (IBC Table 504.3)								
A, B, R	270	180	85	85	85	85	70	60	
	Allowable Number of Stories above Grade Plane (IBC Table 505.4)								
A-2, A-3, A-4	18	12	6	4	4	3	3	2	
В	18	12	9	6	6	4	4	3	
R-2	18	12	8	5	5	5	4	3	
	Allowable Area Factor (At) for SM, Feet <sup>2</sup> (IBC Table 506.2)								
A-2, A-3, A-4	135,000	90,000	56,250	45,000	42,000	28,500	34,500	18,000	
В	324,000	216,000	135,000	108,000	85,500	57,000	54,000	27,000	
R-2	184,500	123,000	76,875	61,500	72,000	48,000	36,000	21,000	

# **Key Early Design Decisions**

### **Construction Type –** Primarily based on building size & occupancy

Construction Type (All Sprinklered Values)								
	IV-A	IV-B	IV-C	IV-HT	III-A	III-B	V-A	V-B
Occupancies Allowable Building Height above Grade Plane, Feet (IBC Table 504.3)								
A, B, R	270	180	85	85	85	85	70	60
For lo	For low- to mid-rise mass timber buildings, there may be							
Amultip	e opti	ons <sup>2</sup> for	const	ruction	type.	There a	re pros	s and
cons c	of eacl	h, <mark>don'</mark> t	t assun	ne that	one ty	pe is al	ways k	oest.
R-2	18	12	8	5	5	5	4	3
	Allowable Area Factor (At) for SM, Feet <sup>2</sup> (IBC Table 506.2)							
A-2, A-3, A-4	135,000	90,000	56,250	45,000	42,000	28,500	34,500	18,000
В	324,000	216,000	135,000	108,000	85,500	57,000	54,000	27,000
R-2	184,500	123,000	76,875	61,500	72,000	48,000	36,000	21,000

# **Fire-Resistance Ratings**

- Driven primarily by construction type
- Rating achieved through timber alone or non-combustible protection required?

BUILDING ELEMENT		TYPEI		TYPE II		TYPE III		TYPE IV			TYPE V	
		B	A	В	A	В	A	B	С	нт	A	В
Primary structural frame <sup>f</sup> (see Section 202)	34.0	2ª. b. c	1 <sup>b, c</sup>	0°	1b, c	0	3*	2ª	2ª	HT	1b.c	0
Bearing walls							-					
Exterior*1	3	2	1	0	2	2	3	2	2	2	1	0
Interior	3*	2ª	1	0	1	0	3	2	2	1/HT*	1	0
Nonbearing walls and partitions Exterior						See 7	Table 70	)5.5				3
Nonbearing walls and partitions Interior <sup>4</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	See Section 2304.11.2	0	0
Floor construction and associated secondary structural members (see Section 202)	2	2	1	0	1	0	2	2	2	HT	1	0
Roof construction and associated secondary structural members (see Section 202)	11/2 b	1 <sup>b,c</sup>	1 <sup>b,c</sup>	0°	1 <sup>b,c</sup>	0	11/2	1	1	HT	1 <sup>b.c</sup>	0

TABLE 601 FIRE-RESISTANCE RATING REQUIREMENTS FOR BUILDING ELEMENTS (HOURS)

# MASS TIMBER DESIGN

### FIRE RESISTANCE

Mass Timber's Fire-Resistive Performance is Well-Tested, Documented and Recognized via Code Acceptance



Table 16.2.1AChar Depth and Effective CharDepth (for  $\beta_n = 1.5$  in./hr.)

Required Fire Resistance (hr.)	Char Depth, a <sub>char</sub> (in.)	Effective Char Depth, a <sub>eff</sub> (in.)
1-Hour	1.5	1.8
1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> -Hour	2.1	2.5
2-Hour	2.6	3.2

Source: AWC's NDS



Source: AWC's TR 10

# FRR Design of MT

#### **Calculated FRR of Exposed MT:** IBC to NDS code compliance path



Code Path for Exposed Wood Fire-Resistance Calculations

#### IBC 703.3

#### Methods for determining fire resistance

- Prescriptive designs per IBC 721.1
- Calculations in accordance with IBC 722
- · Fire-resistance designs documented in sources
- · Engineering analysis based on a comparison
- Alternate protection methods as allowed by 104.11

#### IBC 722 Calculated Fire Resistance

"The calculated *fire resistance* of exposed wood members and wood decking shall be permitted in accordance with Chapter 16 of ANSI/AWC National Design Specification for Wood Construction (NDS)

#### NDS Chapter 16 Fire Design of Wood Members

- · Limited to calculating fire resistance up to 2 hours
- Char depth varies based on exposure time (i.e., fire-resistance rating), product type and lamination thickness. Equations and tables are provided.
- TR 10 and NDS commentary are helpful in implementing permitted calculations.

# **Key Early Design Decisions**

# **Fire-Resistance Ratings (FRR)**

- Thinner panels (i.e. 3-ply) generally difficult to achieve a 1+ hour FRR
- 5-ply CLT / 2x6 NLT & DLT panels can usually achieve a 1- or 2-hour FRR
- Construction Type | FRR | Member Size | Grid (or re-arrange that process but follow how one impacts the others)

Panel	Example Floor Span Ranges
3-ply CLT (4-1/8" thick)	Up to 12 ft
5-ply CLT (6-7/8" thick)	14 to 17 ft
7-ply CLT (9-5/8")	17 to 21 ft
2x4 NLT	Up to 12 ft
2x6 NLT	10 to 17 ft
2x8 NLT	14 to 21 ft
5" MPP	10 to 15 ft



# FRR Design of MT

### **WoodWorks Inventory of Fire Tested MT Assemblies**

Table 1: North American Fire Resistance Tests of Mass Timber Floor / Roof Assemblies



CLT Pand	Manu facturer	CLT Grade or Major x Minor Grade	Colling Protection	Panel Connection in Test	Flaur Topping	Load Rating	Firs Resistance Advice of (Hours)	Searce	Testing Lab
3-ply-CLT (114:sm 4.468 sc)	Netter	SPF 1650 Pb 1.5 EMSR. 8.527 83	2 Jayon 1/2". Type X gypsam	Hilf Lip	Nette	Rolmon Jetta Moment Cepiacity	1	I (Test I)	NRC Fire Laboratory
3-ply CLT (105 mm 4.133 in)	Structurlam	SPF #1/#2 x SPF #1/#2	1 layer 5/8" Type Xgypsum	Half-Lap	None	Reduced 75% Moment Capacity	1	1 (Test 5)	NRC Fire Laboratory
5-ply CLT (175mm6.875*)	Nordic	В	None	Topside Spline	2 stagg ered layers of 1/2" cement boards	Loaded, See Manufacturer	2	2	NRC Fire Laboratory March 2016
5-ply CLT (175mm6.875*)	Nordic	El	1 layer of 5/8" Type X gyp sum under Z- channels and farring strips with 3 5/8" (therealises batts)	Topside Spline	2 stagg ared layers of 1/2* cement boards	Loaded, See Manufacturer	2	5	NRC Fire Laboratory Nov 2014
5-ply CLT (175mm6.875*)	Nordic	В	None	Topside Spline	3/4 in. proprietary gyperete over Maxxon acoustical mat	Reduced 50% Moment Capacity	1.5	3	UL
5-ply CLT (175mm6.875*)	Nordic	В	1 lay er 5/8" no mail gyp-sam	Topside Spline	3/4 in. proprietary gypenete over Maxxon acoustical mat or proprietary sound board	Reduced 54% Moment Capacity	2	4	UL
5-plyCLT (175mm6.875*)	Nordic	в	1 kyer 58° Type X Gyp under Resilient Channel under 7 78° 1-Joints with 3 12° Mineral Wool beween Joints	Half-Lap	None	Loaded, See Manufacturer	2	21	Intertek 8/24/2012
5-ply CLT (175mm6.875*)	Stru cturlam	E1 M5 MSR 2100 x SPF#2	None	Topside Spline	1-1/2" Maxxon Cyp-Grete 2000 over Maxxon Reinforcing Mesh	Loaded, See Manufacturer	2.5	6	Intertek, 2/22/2016
5-ply CLT (175mm6.875*)	DR Johnson	vı	None	Half-Lap & Topside Spline	2° gypsumtopping	Loaded, See Manufacturer	2	7	SwRI (May 2016)
5-ply CLT (175mm6.875*)	Nordic	SPF 1950 Fb MSR x SPF #3	None	Half-Lap	None	Reduced 59% Moment Capacity	1.5	1 (Test 3)	NRC Fire Laboratory
5-ply CLT (175mm6.875*)	Stru cturlam	SPF #1/#2 x SPF #1/#2	1 layer 5/8" Type Xgypsum	Half-Lap	None	Unreduced 101% Moment Capacity	2	1 (Test 6)	NRC Fire Laboratory
7-ply CET (245mm 9.6.1*)	Sinchelen	SPF #1.92 x.52F #1.92	Nete	Malf-Lap	New	Unrolated 1815: Mismort Capacity	1.5	1 (Eut) 7)	NRC Fire Laboratory
3.phyCEF (171mm+6.875*)	Securition	9E-914	Neter	Half-Lep	accelerated 1/2" ply wood with hid walls.	Londez. Sor Memilacture	2	12(Eat 4)	Western Fire Center 10/26/2016
5-pty-CLT (175mmi-6.875*)	Seartan	N	Sume	Half-Lap	noninal 1/2+plywood with high calls.	Loaded. See Menofacturer	2	12 (Set 3)	Wotern Fire Center 10/28/2016
5-gly CLT (175mm-6.875*)	DRJakason	VI	Near	Helf-Lap	nominal 1/2+ply wood with 64 mile.	Looked, See Misturfacturer	2	12(Test 6)	Western Fire Center 11/01/2016
5-phy-CLT	63.0	CV1M1	Neter	Holf-Lap A	Note	London,		- 18	SwRI

**Cost Impacts of Construction Type** 

# **Construction Type Early Decision Example**

### **3-story building on college campus**

- Mostly Group B occupancy, some assembly (events) space
- NFPA 13 sprinklers throughout
- Floor plate = 7,700 SF
- Total Building Area = 23,100 SF

### **Impact of Assembly Occupancy Placement:**

Owner originally desires events space on top (3<sup>rd</sup>) floor

Requires Construction Type IIIA

If owner permits moving events space to 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> floor

Could use Type IIIB



**Cost Impacts of Construction Type** 

# **Construction Type Early Decision Example**

**3-story building on college campus** 

**Cost Impact of Assembly Occupancy Placement:** 

Location of Event Space	3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor	1 <sup>st</sup> Floor
Construction Type	III-A	III-B
Assembly Group	A-3	A-3
Fire Resistive Rating	1-Hr	0-Hr
Connections	Concealed	Exposed
CLT Panel Thickness	5-Ply	3-Ply
Superstructure Cost/SF	<u>\$65/SF</u>	<u>\$53/SF</u>





Source: PCL Construction

# **Cost: Construction Type**

#### **TABLE 601**

Fire Resistance Rating Requirements for Building Elements (Hours)

Building Element	III-A	III-B	IV-A	IV-B	IV-C	IV-HT	V-A	V-B
Primary Structural Frame	1	0	3	2	2	HT	1	0
Ext. Bearing Walls	2	2	3	2	2	2	1	0
Int. Bearing Walls	1	0	3	2	2	1/HT	1	0
Floor Construction	1	0	2	2	2	HT	1	0
Roof Construction	1	0	1.5	1	1	HT	1	0

Baseline	-
0hr & HT	1hr 8

+\$10/SF	

+\$12-15/SF 2hr FRR

Cost Source: Swinerton

# **Cost Implication of Design Choices**

## Which is the most efficient option?



Source: Fast + Epp, Timber Bay Design Tool

	Timber Volume Ratio
IIIA – Option 1	0.73 CF / SF
IIIA – Option 2	0.74 CF / SF
IV-HT / IIIB	0.51 CF / SF

A general rule of thumb for efficient mass timber fiber volume is no higher than 0.75 CF per SF for up to a 1 hour rated structure (higher if 2 hour exposed timber in tall mass timber).

Ratios in the 0.85 to 1.0 CF / SF range tend to become cost prohibitive

# **Mass Timber Acoustics**

There are three main ways to improve an assembly's acoustical performance:

- 1. Add mass
  - 2. Add noise barriers
- 3. Add decouplers

Finish Floor if Applicable — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	 	 	 	 	
Concrete/Gypsum Topping					
Acoustical Mat Product					
CLT Panel					
No direct applied or hung ceiling —					

# **Mass Timber Acoustics**

# Common mass timber floor assembly:

- Finish floor (if applicable)
- Underlayment (if finish floor)
- 1.5" to 4" thick concrete/gypcrete topping
- Acoustical mat
- WSP (if applicable)
- Mass timber floor panels



## **Acoustics & Sound Control**

#### **Inventory of Tested Assemblies**

1.100.4-7.2-0	144124050940509200					WDOD PROD	NCTS CITURE	
	Finish Floor Concrete/G	if Applicable ypsum Topping						
	Acoustical Mat Product							
	CLT Panel No direct ap	optied or hung ceiling						
CLT Panel	Concrete/Gypsum Topping	Acoustical	Mat Product Between CLT and Topping	Finish Floor	STC <sup>1</sup>	IIC <sup>1</sup>	Sour	
CLT 5-ply (6.875")				None	47 <sup>2</sup> ASTC	47 <sup>2</sup> AliC	-	
				LVT		49 <sup>2</sup> AllC		
				Carpet + Pad	-	75 <sup>2</sup> AllC		
		Maxxon Acousti-Mat* 3/4		LVT on Acousti-Top*	6 + C	52 <sup>2</sup> AIIC	1	
	1-1/2" Gyp-Crete*			Eng Wood on Acousti- Top®	2	51 <sup>2</sup> AIIC	1	
				None	49 <sup>2</sup> ASTC	45 <sup>2</sup> AliC		
		Maxon Acousti-Mat* % Premium		LVT		47 <sup>2</sup> AliC	13	
				LVT on Acousti-Top*	-	49 <sup>2</sup> AliC		
				None	454	394	15	
		USG SAM N25 Ultra		LVT	485	476	16	
				LVT Plus	48 <sup>s</sup>	49 <sup>s</sup>	58	
				Eng Wood	476	47 <sup>s</sup>	59	
				Carpet + Pad	456	676	60	
				Ceramic Tile	50 <sup>4</sup>	46 <sup>s</sup>	61	
	1			None	450	426	15	
	1.1/2" Levelrock*			IVT	480	440	16	

# MASS TIMBER DESIGN

#### LATERAL FRAMING SYSTEMS



### STEEL OR CONCRETE SEISMIC SYSTEM:

- COMMONLY USED WITH GLAZING/CURTAIN WALLS
- MAY USE RIGID OR SEMI-RIGID (IF USED WITH FRAMES AT EXTERIOR) ANALYSIS

# LIGHT FRAME SHEARWALLS:

- TYPICAL FOR 1-5 STORIES
- TYPICALLY ASSUME FLEXIBLE DIAPHRAGM
- NEED AMPLE WALL AT PERIMETER



#### **OVERVIEW** | CONNECTIONS





Panel to Panel & Supports

Photo: Charles Judd



Photo: Marcus Kauffman

# Connections

# Connection design considerations:

- Fire rating
- Structural capacity
- Shrinkage
- Constructability
- Aesthetics
- Cost



#### **OVERVIEW** | CONNECTIONS



Photo Credit: alex schreyer

# **NEW MASS TIMBER CONNECTIONS INDEX**





ARCHITECTURE URBAN DESIGN INTERIOR DESIGN





A library of commonly used mass timber connections with designer notes and information on fire resistance, relative cost and load-carrying capacity.

# WoodWorks Index of Mass Timber Connections





## **Insurance Perspective on Mass Timber**

- Lack of historic loss data = Unknowns
- Unknowns = Risk
- Risk = Higher Premiums
- Some take a 'wood is wood' approach
- Important to understand the significant differences in how mass timber performs in the event of a fire, etc. when compared to light wood-frame and all other building materials



Photo Credit: StructureCraft



Photo Credit: GLI Partners

Set Realistic Owner Expectations About Aesthetics

• MEP fully exposed with MT structure, or limited exposure?



Key considerations:

- Level of exposure desired
- Floor to floor, structure depth & desired head height
- Building occupancy and configuration (i.e. central core vs. double loaded corridor)
- Grid layout and beam orientations
- Need for future tenant reconfiguration
- Impact on fire & structural design: concealed spaces, penetrations



Smaller grid bays at central core (more head height)

• Main MEP trunk lines around core, smaller branches in exterior bays



Dropped below MT framing

- Can simplify coordination (fewer penetrations)
- Bigger impact on head height



In penetrations through MT framing

- Requires more coordination (penetrations)
- Bigger impact on structural capacity of penetrated members
- Minimal impact on head height



In chases above beams and below panels

- Fewer penetrations
- Bigger impact on head height (overall structure depth is greater)
- FRR impacts: top of beam exposure



In gaps between MT panels

• Fewer penetrations, can allow for easier modifications later



In raised access floor (RAF) above MT

- Impact on head height
- Concealed space code provisions



In topping slab above MT

- Greater need for coordination prior to slab pour
- Limitations on what can be placed (thickness of topping slab)
- No opportunity for renovations later


#### **MEP SYSTEMS, ROUTING, INTEGRATION**



#### INTEGRATED SYSTEMS

(3) States and set of the set of the system of a product of the set of the

Credit: John Klein, Generate Architecture

(1) The second second second second second to be the second s second s second se

#### **UNDERSTANDING INSURANCE**

# INSURANCE

![](_page_73_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **INSURANCE PERSPECTIVE ON MASS TIMBER**

- Lack of historic loss data = Unknowns
- Unknowns = Risk
- Risk = Higher Premiums
- Some take a 'wood is wood' approach
- Important to understand the significant differences in how mass timber performs in the event of a fire, etc. when compared to light wood-frame and all other building materials

![](_page_74_Picture_6.jpeg)

Photo Credit: StructureCraft

![](_page_74_Picture_8.jpeg)

Photo Credit: GLI Partners

#### **Insurance Perspective on Mass Timber**

- Mass timber insurance resource for insurers, developers, contractors & designers
- Free download at woodworks.org

Some mass timber projects have been classified as Modified Fire Resistive, but there is often pressure for underwriters to use more expensive classification codes. There is also interest in exploring a seventh classification specific to mass timber. Working with a broker experienced with mass timber is very height in terms of respitating an appropriate classification. The broker can speak to its performance classification. The broker can speak to its performance classification, advantages for the project at hand, and historical use in similar buildings.

While there are many types of insurance coverage for buildings, this paper is focused on general liability and property coverage for a building owher.

General lability coverage insures your legal lability to third parties for bodily intury and/or property damage. It cowns both defense costs and any indemnity payments. There are exclusions for intentional acts, coverage that can be purchased under another policy, illegal acts and acts of government. General liability policy premiums are calculated based on employee payroll, revenue and the cost of subcontracted work, including materials. Pates vary based on specific tasks performed, location of the work, past claims history of the entity, breadth of obverage, the insurer providing the policy and regotiation skills of the insurance. broker. Typical general Eablity limits are \$1,000,000 for each occurrence, \$2,000,000 general aggregate and \$2,000,000 products/completed operations aggregate. This is considered a one million limit policy, as the occurrence limits are referenced in conversations about onverage. Aggregate refers to the mealmont the toilor will tray terretients of the restillen-

#### General Liability Insurance Structure Options

For a developer of a mass timber project, there are two types of general liability insurance available.

The first covers just the developer's operations. This can be an annual renewable policy that is part of a larger program overring all of the firm's projects or a standalone policy overring a single project for its duration. In this scenars, the general contractor and each of the trade subcontractors parchase their own annual renewable policies. A trauel renewable policies are called "practice" policies. A typical construction project has over furty applicable general fability practice policies, most of which include at least \$5,000,000 in excess fability policies. Contracturs and subcontractors are usually contractually obligated to name the developer as an additional insured on their policies.

The second option is a Cuntrolled Insurance Program, which is called either an Owner Controlled Insurance Policy (ICCIP) or Contractur Controlled Insurance Policy (ICCIP), depending on whether the owner or general portractur is named frat. These types of policies are issued for a specific project for all parties working at the site. They cover the term of construction through the statute of ultimate repoles for the state where the project is located. Due to the depth and treadth of coverage, OCIPs and CCIPs are more expensive that practice policies. They're typically used when the context to assign the liability coverage for a project to the insurance cumpany, in order to end their liability when the project is sold. Sometimes a lender will require this type of the sold.

#### Insurance for Mass Timber Construction: Assessing Risk and Providing Answers

Kelmer McLare, P.C. 10. A Descent Scienciss Distributes - Mathematic State (Science) - Mathematic Science (Science) - Mathematic Science, Berliner, 4 Science, A S

One of the exciting trends in building design is the growing use of mass timber—(.e., large sold wood panel products such as cross-temmated timber (CLT) and nai-temmated timber (WLT)—for, well and roof construction. Mass timber products have inherent file resistance and can be left exposed in many applications and building sites, achieving the triple function of structure, finish and fire resistance. Because of their strength and dimensional stability, these products offer an attemative to steel, concrete and mesonry for many applications, but have a moch lighter carbon Scotprint. It is this combination of exposed structure and strength that developers and designers across the country are leveloperts to create knowstive dissigns with a warm yet modern aesthetic.

As mass timber construction has proliferated across the U.S., a number of project teams have run into the same issue: insurance companies unfamiliar with these types of buildings can be reluctant to provide insurance.

The challenge has presented itself in two forms: builder's risk meutance for course of construction) and property insurance lafter building to complete and occupied! Relative tisks are assessed differently for each, and each requires a unique approach. For example:

 Construction-phase risks associated with fire are different in mass timber buildings than with most other framing systems. Since the timber elements have inherent fire-resistance capabilities, a building can have a certain level of passive fire testistance after the frame is erected. Protector doesn't rely on land wait for installation of installation soft as sprav-applied.  In addition to safety, property insurance for mass timber buildings requires an understanding of performance related to things like moisture, durability and building enclosure detailing. Much of the property insurance discussion is also site-specific—e.g., is the area prone to flooding, sathquakes or high winds? Mass timber has been tested against potential natural disasters, and numerous test and research reports are available.

This paper is intended for developers and owners seeking to purchase misurance for mass timber buildings, for design/construction teams looking to make their designs and installation processes more insurable, and for insurance industry preferebonal looking to alleviste their concerns about safety and performance.

For developers, owners and design/construction teams, it provides an overview of the insurative industry, including its history, what affects premiums, how risks are analyted, and how project teams can navigate doverage for mass timber buildings, insurance in general can seem like a mystery what determines premium fluctuations, impacts of a

![](_page_75_Picture_19.jpeg)

#### Insurance vs. Building Codes

- It is important to note the distinct difference between the primary concerns of insurers vs. primary concerns of building codes
- Insurance primarily concerned with property loss
- Building codes primarily concerned with occupant safety
- As such, code acceptance and associated testing may be helpful to insurers in evaluating a new product like mass timber, but it will not address all concerns

![](_page_76_Picture_5.jpeg)

above policy number, arance Company] of [0

![](_page_76_Picture_7.jpeg)

# WoodWorks Online Event

![](_page_77_Picture_1.jpeg)

WOODWORKS

Kendeda Building for Innovataive Sustainable Design, The Miller Hull Partnership with Lord Acck Sargent, photo Jocathan Hillyor

![](_page_77_Picture_3.jpeg)

1430 Q, The HR Group Architects, Buehler Engineering, Greg Folkins Photography

![](_page_77_Picture_5.jpeg)

T3 Minneapolis, MGA, DLR Group, Magnusson Klemencic Associates, StructureCraft, photo Eme Peter

#### MASS TIMBER CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

#### Planning

COLUMN 2

 Anatomy of a Mass Timber Package
 Procurement, Supply Chain, Schedule Drivers

# Environmental

INDY QUATTLEBAUM

#### Exposure

Site Planning

Moisture Planning and Mitigation

UV Planning and Mitigation

### Workforce Training

Strategic Partnerships

- Training/Education
  - Resources

#### **Holistic Costing**

![](_page_79_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_79_Picture_2.jpeg)

\$/SF

Image: GBD Architects

#### Anatomy of a Turnkey Mass Timber Package

![](_page_80_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Material (Direct Cost)

![](_page_81_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Mass Timber Package Costs

![](_page_82_Figure_1.jpeg)

Source: Swinerton

### Labor (Direct Cost)

 7%
 15%

 14%

 64%

#### Turnkey Mass Timber Package

![](_page_83_Picture_3.jpeg)

Photo: Swinerton

## Equipment (Direct Cost)

 7%

 15%

 14%

 64%

#### Turnkey Mass Timber Package

![](_page_84_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_84_Picture_4.jpeg)

Photo: Alex Schreyer

Photo: Swinerton

Source: Swinerton

#### **Project Overhead**

![](_page_85_Picture_1.jpeg)

Turnkey Mass Timber Package

![](_page_85_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_85_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_85_Picture_5.jpeg)

**Detail Optimization** 

![](_page_85_Picture_7.jpeg)

Logistics Planning

### **Total Project Cost Analysis**

#### CONSIDERATIONS:

- Ceiling Treatment
- Floor Topping
- HVAC System & Route
- Foundation Size
- Material Savings
- Perimeter glazing
- Value of Time
- Completion Bonds/Insurance

![](_page_86_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_86_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### Sample Procurement Strategies

GC/CM Hires Turnkey Mass Timber Subcontractor	GC/CM Buys Material, Self-Performs Installation and Coordinates	GC/CM Buys Material, Subcontracts Labor and Coordinates
R	ISK SPECTRU	M
<ul> <li>+ Hiring experience</li> <li>+ Single point of responsibility</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>+ Hiring experience</li> <li>+ Single point of responsibility</li> <li>+ Financial security of strong GC/CM</li> </ul>	+ Potential added mark-up
<ul> <li>Prequalify capacity of subs</li> <li>Potential added mark-up</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lack of familiarity with supply chain</li> <li>Steep learning curve for coordination</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multiple layers of coordination</li> <li>Prequalify capacity of sub</li> </ul>

Source: Timberlab

BBO

**BB0** This slide has always been a bit of mystery to me. 1 and 3 make sense. I don't fully understand the discussion for option 2 Brandon Brooks, 2022-05-05T19:32:19.356

### Potential Cost Impacts: Design-Bid-Build Procurement

![](_page_89_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Alternate Procurement Option: Trade Partner/Master Builder Approach

![](_page_90_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_91_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_91_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Material Fabrication Planning

PRO Services

## **Understand the Supply Chain**

Photo: Swinerton

![](_page_94_Figure_0.jpeg)

Credit: Tanya Luthi, Entuitive

**Understand Manufacturer's Capabilities** 

#### **Understand Manufacturer's Capabilities**

![](_page_95_Figure_1.jpeg)

Credit: TimberLab

**BBO** 

**BB0** Poached this from a TL presentation, put colored bpxes over names to avoid europeans, Nordic and Element 5. Brandon Brooks, 2022-05-06T23:31:34.791

## Embrace the Prefab Advantage

Photo: Swinerton

#### Tolerances: Interface with Other Structural Materials

![](_page_98_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_98_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_98_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **Schedule Drivers**

#### **Procurement Approach Determines Schedule**

#### Compressing the Typical Construction Schedule with Mass Timber 13, 15, 16

Look for these potential schedule savings in comparison to steel and concrete

![](_page_100_Figure_3.jpeg)

Slide 99	
BB0	We need to reconcile the 25% claim Brandon Brooks, 2022-04-22T21:59:12.687
RM0 0	We have a few sources in the cost checklist which is where this graphic is taken from. Should we reference the cost checklist here or were you thinking more specifics?

Ricky McLain, 2022-04-25T15:44:58.181

### **Procurement Approach Determines Schedule**

#### Example 6 Story Type IIIA Project

![](_page_102_Figure_2.jpeg)

Source: Swinerton

#### Design-Bid-Build Procurement

### **Procurement Approach Determines Schedule**

#### Example 6 Story Type IIIA Project

![](_page_103_Figure_2.jpeg)

Source: Swinerton

#### Design-Build/Design-Assist Procurement

#### **Procurement Logic for Scheduling**

![](_page_104_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Example 6 Story Type IIIA Project

#### **Procurement Approach Determines Schedule**

![](_page_105_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Schedule Comparison

![](_page_106_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_106_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_106_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_106_Figure_4.jpeg)

Image: Swinerton

#### Schedule Drivers

![](_page_107_Picture_1.jpeg)
## **BIM/Digital Twins**







Photos: Swinerton

## Schedule Impacts: Hybrid Structures





## Look At Schedule Holistically

PART APRIL

Photo: StructureCraft Builders

ARG W

## Overall Project Schedule Analysis: 12 Story Type IV-B



Source: Swinerton

## Schedule Impact on Cost | Value of Time

A large-scale MT project can be up to 2% higher in direct costs, but a minimum of 20% lower in project overhead costs. The net result is cost-neutrality and higher value.

Source: Swinerton Photo: Alex Schreyer

## Early Move-In for Rough-In Trades.



## **Embracing BIM for Fabrication**



Photos: Swinerton

## **SITE PLANNING**

Photo: Swinerton



## SITE INSPECTIONS

100 340

Photo: H+O Structural Engineering, Kure Creative

## **Tall Mass Timber Special Inspections**

#### TABLE 1705.5.3 REQUIRED SPECIAL INSPECTIONS OF MASS TIMBER CONSTRUCTION

Туре	Continuous Special Inspection	Periodic Special Inspection
<ol> <li>Inspection of anchorage and connections of mass timber construction to timber deep foundation systems.</li> </ol>		×
2. Inspect erection of mass timber construction		X
3. Inspection of connections where installation methods are required to meet design loads		
3.1. Threaded fasteners		
3.1.1. Verify use of proper installation equipment.		X
3.1.2. Verify use of pre-drilled holes where required.		X
3.1.3. Inspect screws, including diameter, length, head type, spacing, installation angle, and depth.		×
3.2. Adhesive anchors installed in horizontal or upwardly inclined orientation to resist sustained tension loads	X	
3.3. Adhesive anchors not defined in 3.2.		X
3.4. Bolted connections		X
3.5. Concealed connections		X

Table is only required for Type IV-A, IV-B, and IV-C

Source: International Building Code

## Sequencing





## MATERIAL DELIVERY

Photo: Swinerton

100

Occan

1000-045-3718

68





# Planning for Environmental Exposures



- Plan Early
- Risk Evaluation
- Develop Construction
- Phase Plan
- Execute the Design and Moisture Management Plan
- Monitor

RDH Moisture Management Guide 1<sup>st</sup> Ed Material Environmental Exposure and Moisture Management Enroute Onsite Post-Install Other Material

BBO

- **BB0** Plenty of great tips from Dave Beck and Jeff Chan to expand this section Brandon Brooks, 2022-04-17T20:41:48.248
- **RM0 0** I agree we should build this out more, maybe also rely on the RDH doc and what we have in the construction manual Ricky McLain, 2022-04-21T18:39:36.926



# binderholz binderholz binderhol

## Enroute Exposure



## **On Site Considerations**

# On Site Considerations

Ve

NOUD

P K

lor









# **Onsite Considerations**

#### BBO All photos on left from Adohi Hall folder in Sharefile. No particular credit is listed Right: Ascent, J. Reynolds WoodWorks & C.D. Smith Construction Brandon Brooks, 2022-04-29T01:07:52.548







# Other Materials



#### BB0 Photos: Apex Clean Energy (Terry Patillo – Credit is WoodWorks) Brandon Brooks, 2022-04-29T01:07:37.924



## Workforce Development

Training is the key to efficiency Training takes time and money

## Training versus Education

## Resources available to all

MT Construction Manual Installer Curriculum Other WW Resources CM Workshops Previous recorded versions Learning Management System

## Mass Timber Construction Management Program WOODWORKS







#### Released on 20 October 2021 https://www.woodworks.org/mass-timberconstruction-management-program/







This concludes The American Institute of Architects Continuing Education Systems Course

## Chelsea Drenick, SE

Regional Director | CA-North, NV, UT

(303) 588-1300

chelsea.drenick@woodworks.org

## Brandon Brooks, MBA

PMP Construction Management Program Manager (760) 271-3722

brandon.brooks@woodworks.org

901 East Sixth, Thoughtbarn-Delineate Studio, Leap!Structures, photo Casey Dunn



## **Copyright Materials**

This presentation is protected by US and International Copyright laws. Reproduction, distribution, display and use of the presentation without written permission of the speaker is prohibited.

#### © The Wood Products Council 2022

#### Funding provided in part by the Softwood Lumber Board

**Disclaimer:** The information in this presentation, including, without limitation, references to information contained in other publications or made available by other sources (collectively "information") should not be used or relied upon for any application without competent professional examination and verification of its accuracy, suitability, code compliance and applicability by a licensed engineer, architect or other professional. Neither the Wood Products Council nor its employees, consultants, nor any other individuals or entities who contributed to the information make any warranty, representative or guarantee, expressed or implied, that the information is suitable for any general or particular use, that it is compliant with applicable law, codes or ordinances, or that it is free from infringement of any patent(s), nor do they assume any legal liability or responsibility for the use, application of and/or reference to the information. Anyone making use of the information in any manner assumes all liability arising from such use.