WOOD-OVER-PODIUM DESIGN TIPS

Lateral Design and Detailing for Wood-over-Podium Construction



PRESENTED BY JEREMIAH C. O'NEILL, P.E.

Disclaimer: This presentation was developed by a third party and is not funded by WoodWorks or the Softwood Lumber Board.

WOOD OVER-PODIUM: WHAT IS A PODIUM?

WHAT IS A PODIUM?

IBC 510.2 – HORIZONTAL BUILDING SEPARATION ALLOWANCE

A BUILDING SHALL BE CONSIDERED AS SEPARATE AND DISTINCT BUILDINGS FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING AREA LIMITATION, CONTINUITY OF FIRE WALLS, LIMITATION OF NUMBER OF STORIES AND TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION WHERE ALL OF THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS ARE MET:

- 1. THE BUILDINGS ARE SEPARATED WITH A HORIZONTAL ASSEMBLY HAVING A FIRE-RESISTANCE RATING OF NOT LESS THAN 3 HOURS
- 2. THE BUILDING BELOW THE HORIZONTAL ASSEMBLY IS OF TYPE IA CONSTRUCTION.
- 3. AND MORE....SEE IBC!

MAX PODIUM STORIES?

IBC 2012 (AND PREVIOUS VERSIONS) A PODIUM WAS LIMITED TO 1-STORY IN HEIGHT. 4-ON-1 AND 5-ON-1

STARTING IN IBC 2015, THIS LIMIT WAS REMOVED ALLOWING FOR PODIUMS TALLER THAN ONE STORY. NOW THE MAX OVERALL BUILDING HEIGHT OF THE MORE RESTRICTIVE CONSTRUCTION TYPE WILL LIMIT THE PODIUM HEIGHT. 4-ON-2 AND 5-ON-2, 5-ON-3



WOOD OVER-PODIUM: HOW TALL?

MAX BUILDING SIZES DEPENDING ON CONSTR. TYPE

MAX STORIES	<u>TYPE IIIA</u>	<u>TYPE IIIB</u>	<u>TYPE VA</u>	<u>TYPE VB</u>
	5	5	4	3
MAX BUILDING HEIGHT	85 FT	75 FT	70 FT	60 FT

-ASSUMES RESIDENTIAL USE TYPE R-2 -ASSUMES AUTOMATIC SPRINKLERS -BASED ON IBC 2015





TYPE IIIA - 5 STORIES MAX

TYPE IA

DELINEATION OF 3-HOUR FIRE RATED PODIUM

TYPICAL FRAMING

- 1. OPEN WEB FLOOR TRUSSES
- 2. CORRIDOR FRAMING 2X10@16" o.c.
- 3. ³/₄" SUBFLOOR + ACOUSTIC MAT + GYPCRETE
- 4. TRUSSES TYPICALLY RUN PARALLEL TO CORRIDOR
 - 1. MAXIMIZES DEAD LOAD ON SHEAR WALLS
 - 2. REDUCES LOAD LANDING ON SLAB EDGE
 - 3. EXTERIOR WALL HEADERS NON-BEARING
- 5. DOUBLE PARTITION WALL



GRAVITY – HIGH AXIAL LOADS

- 1. GRAVITY LOADS BECOME SUBSTANTIAL AT THE LOWER LEVELS
 - 1. EXPECT BEARING WALLS TO HAVE BUILT UP STUDS AT LOWER LEVELS, (3)-2X6 @ 24" O.C. FOR EXAMPLE
 - 2. DOUBLE PARTITION WALLS CAN BE DOUBLE 2X4 WALLS
 - 3. AT NON-DOUBLE PARTITION WALLS, IF POSSIBLE, USE 2X6 WALLS AT BEARING WALLS, NOT 2X4
- 2. STACKING WOOD POSTS BECOME LARGE. AVOID LARGE BEAM SPANS WHERE POSSIBLE.
- 3. THE CONTROLLING FACTOR FOR POST AND STUD SIZING IS OFTEN THE SILL/TOP PLATES LOADED PERPENDICULAR TO THE GRAIN







GRAVITY – SHRINKAGE CONSIDERATIONS

- BE AWARE OF SHRINKAGE
- LOOK FOR:
 - MASONRY SHAFTS
 - MASONRY VENEERS
 - PLUMBING RUNS
 - CHANGES IN VERTICAL MATERIAL CONSTRUCTION TYPES
- DETAIL TO MINIMIZE SHRINKAGE
- NOTIFY CONTRACTOR OF THIS

<wood estimates="" framed="" shrinkage="" structure=""></wood>							
2	3	4	5	ROOF			
1/4"-3/8"	1/2"-3/4"	3/4"-1 1/8"	1"-1 1/2"	1 1/4"-1 7/8"			
	2 1/4"-3/8"	2 3 1/4"-3/8" 1/2"-3/4"	2 3 4 1/4*-3/8* 1/2*-3/4* 3/4*-1 1/8*	2 3 4 5 1/4"-3/8" 1/2"-3/4" 3/4"-1 1/8" 1"-1 1/2"			

1. THE VALUES ABOVE ARE AN ESTIMATE OF THE TOTAL SHRINKAGE A GIVEN FLOOR WILL EXPERIENCE FROM THE INITIAL WOOD INSTALLATION TO THE POINT OF FINAL EQUILIBRIUM. THE ESTIMATED SHRINKAGE VALUES WITHIN THIS TABLE ARE BASED ON VARIOUS ASSUMPTIONS SUCH AS STARTING MOISTURE CONTENT OF LUMBER. ACTUAL LUMBER AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS WILL IMPACT THE ACTURAL SHRINKAGE WHICH WE HAVE ATTEMPTED TO ENVELOPE BY PROVIDING A RANGE TO TH SHRINKAGE ESTIMATE

2. ALL PLUMBING SHOULD BE INSTALLED AND DETAILED TO BE ABLE TO ACCOMMODATE THIS MOVEMENT. EXAMPLES ARE USING FLEXIBLE COUPLINGS ON VERTICAL PLUMBING RISERS BETWEEN FLOORS AND WHERE T-JOINTS FROM A RISER PENETRATE A WOOD STUD. THESE JOINTS ARE INTENDED TO ALLOW THE MEP SYSTEMS TO COMPENSATE FOR THE ANTIOPATED SETTLEMENT THE DESIGN AND SPECIFICATION FOR THESE SYSTEMS SHALL BE FROWDED BY THE FLUMBING ENGINEER

3. AT FACADES WITH BRICK VENEER THE SETTLEMENTS ABOVE SHALL BE CONSIDERED IN WINDOW DETALING. THE WINDOW ASSEMBLY WILL MOVE WITH THE WOOD WALL, MEANING IT WILL MOVE DOWN IN ELEVATION AS THE WOOD SHRINKS. CONVERSELY, THE BRICK VENEER WILL NOT SHRINK, IN FACT WILL EXPAND AS IT ABSORDS MOISTURE FROM THE ATMOSPHERI THIS DEFERENTIAL MOVEMENT REEDS TO BE ACCOMMODATED IN THE DETALING OF THE WINDOW HEAD AND SALL WE RECOMMEND THAT THE GC OR OWNER CARRY AN ALLOWANCE TO RECAULK ALL WINDOWS PENEITATING A BRICK VENEER APPROXIMATELY (IVERA AFTER THE INSTALLATION WHEN THE MAJORITY OF THE WOOD SHRINKAGE WILL HAVE OCCURRED.



NO RIM BOARDS

USE FLEXIBLE

COUPLING





GRAVITY – TYPE IIIA DETAILING

- EXTERIOR BEARING WALLS NEED TO BE 2
 HOUR RATED
- EXTERIOR WALLS NEED TO USE FIRE TREATED LUMBER
- TRANSFERS OF EXTERIOR BEARING WALLS (THINK BUILDING STEPS) NEED TO MAINTAIN THE 2-HR RATING TO THE PODIUM



FIRE RATED SHEATHING



LEDGER THRU DRYWALL



TOP CHORD BEARING TRUSS



SIMPSON DGHF FIRE HANGER

LATERAL – ANALYSIS CONSIDERATIONS

- 1. WIND
- 2. SEISMIC
 - 1. 2-STAGE SEISMIC ANALYSIS (NEXT SLIDE)
- 3. STRENGTH DESIGN
 - 1. NAILING PATTERN
 - 2. HOLD-DOWN SIZING
- 4. DRIFT DESIGN
 - 1. LONGER WALLS
 - 2. INCREASED NAILING
 - 3. LARGER HOLD DOWN





PHOTO BY SIMPSON

LATERAL – SEISMIC 2-STAGE ANALYSIS

1. TREAT WOOD LEVELS AS STAND-ALONE

2. AMPLIFY SEISMIC COMPONENT ONTO PODIUM

- 1. R=6.5 @ WOOD SHEAR WALLS
- 2. R~3 @ PODIUM

ASCE 7-10 SECTION 12.2.3.2 – TWO STAGE ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

A two-stage equivalent lateral force procedure is permitted to be used for structures having a flexible upper portion above a rigid lower portion, provided the design of the structure complies with all of the following:

- a. The stiffness of the lower portion shall be at least 10 times the stiffness of the upper portion.
- b. The period of the entire structure shall not be greater than 1.1 times the period of the upper portion considered as a separate structure supported at the transition from the upper to the lower portion.
- c. The upper portion shall be designed as a separate structure using the appropriate values of R and p.
- d. The lower portion shall be designed as a separate structure using the appropriate values of R and ρ . The reactions from the upper portion shall be those determined from the analysis of the upper portion amplified by the ratio of the R/ρ of the upper portion over R/ρ of the lower portion. This ratio shall not be less than 1.0.
- e. The upper portion is analyzed with the equivalent lateral force or modal response spectrum procedure, and the lower portion is analyzed with the equivalent lateral force procedure.



LATERAL – SHEAR WALL TYPES

- **1. SEGMENTED**
 - 1. IDEAL!
- 2. PERFORATED
 - **1. EMPIRICAL**
 - 2. WATCH OUT FOR UNIFORM UPLIFT ALONG LENGTH OF WALL
 - **3. AMPLIFIES HOLD DOWN FORCES**
- 3. FTAO FORCE TRANSFER AROUND OPENING
 - **1. CONTRACTORS MAY NOT PREFER**
 - 2. ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS



LATERAL – SHEAR WALL LAYOUTS

RIGID



W

- FLEXIBLE VS RIGID DIAPHRAGM
- OPEN FRONT STRUCTURE
 - 35 FT MAX CANTILEVER
 - L/W < 1.5:1
- IDEALLY USE SOLID WALLS
- LONGER WALLS ARE BETTER!



LATERAL – SHEAR WALLS



TOP CHORD BEARING TRUSSES



BOTTOM CHORD BEARING TRUSSES

LATERAL – SHEAR WALL DETAILING

HOW TO PASS SHEAR THROUGH FLOORS?

- **1. TOP CHORD BEARING EASY**
- 2. BOTTOM CHORD BEARING
 - **1. TRUSS BLOCKS**
 - 2. SITE INSTALLED OSB BLOCKS



TRUSS BLOCKS



LATERAL – HOLD DOWN OPTIONS

1. COIL STRAPS

- 1. CHEAPEST OPTION
- 2. CANNOT ACCOMMODATE SHRINKAGE
- 3. IF USED, NAIL TOP PORTION AS LATER AS POSSIBLE
- 4. MORE COMMON IN SHORTER WOOD BUILDINGS

2. HOLD DOWNS

- 1. MIDDLE GROUND
- 2. CANNOT ACCOMMODATE SHRINKAGE AUTOMATICALLY
- 3. CAN ALWAYS TIGHTEN THE NUT AFTER SHRINKAGE HAS OCCURRED
- 4. DIRECT GC TO RETIGHTEN ALL HOLD DOWN NUTS PRIOR TO INSTALLING DRYWALL

3. CONTINUOUS ROD SYSTEMS

- 1. AUTOMATICALLY COMPENSATES FOR SHRINKAGE
- 2. CAN ACHIEVE HIGHER CAPACITIES
- 3. SIMPSON ATS (ANCHOR TIE-DOWN SYSTEM)
- 4. CLP (CONTINUOUS LOAD PATH)
- 5. SUPPLIERS WILL DESIGN THE SYSTEM...EOR TO PROVIDE LOADS





LATERAL – HOLD DOWN ATTACHMENT TO PODIUM



RETROFIT SOLUTION

QUESTIONS?



JEREMIAH C. O'NEILL, P.E. - EMAIL: oneill@hayesoneill.com