Is Wood-Frame Modular the Future of Multi-Family Construction?

Structural Design: What's Different and What's the Same

Presented by Aaron Miller, P.E.

June 2020



Disclaimer: This presentation was developed by a third party and is not funded by WoodWorks or the Softwood Lumber Board.

Outline

- 1. Define what is volumetric modular construction
- 2. Discuss unique structural design considerations
- 3. Address the interface between site engineer and modular engineer
- 4. Clarify the delineation between Local and State jurisdictions, code review and inspections

Project Examples



Wood Modular

Guerdon / Pyatok

Wood Modular

Guerdon / David Baker



Wood Modular Champion / Schematic Workshop Wood Modular Guerdon / Lowney Architecture





Steel Container

CIMC / HLW International Wood Modular



ONE Build / Hamilton Urban Wood Modular





Transform / Driscoll Architects Steel Container CIMC / Panoramic Interests

Volumetric Wood Modular

OFF-SITE FACTORY BUILT CONSTRUCTION

•

- More efficient building delivery & higher quality
- Designed & inspected to meet current IBC codes



Modular Vs Conventional I



TIME

Reduces on-site construction duration by shifting work to the factory

QUALITY CONTROL

Factory conditions & repetitive task workers can provide better quality of construction and finishes COST

Savings in accelerated construction schedule, site labor reductions & faster speed to market

Modular Vs Conventional II



COMMUNITY

Off-site construction shortens neighborhood impact

TEAM COORDINATION

Early collaboration between designers and trades ensures better coordination of final product

ENVIRONMENT

Produces less waste, LEED[®] credits awarded

Structural Design

- Same as site-built stick frame
 - Joists, beams, stud walls for gravity
 - Wood sheathed diaphragms and shear walls for lateral
- The difference is access and timing
- Design within building code to avoid alternate means and methods



Gravity Design

- Double assembly
- Design for conservative repetition
- Continuous engineered lumber floor rim joists
 - Act as beams in the final condition
 - Provides stability during transport/install



Lateral Design I

- Similar to site-built stick frame
 - More focus on access
- Continuous diaphragm at ceiling sheathing
- Chords and collectors
 - Factory aligned framing
 - 2x sleepers and metal straps



Lateral Design II

- Corridor left unfinished
- "Belly bands" or "stitch sheathing"
- Hold downs where accessible
- Coordination with crane set sequence
 - Shear Connections
 - Hold downs



Mechanical Electrical Plumbing



FACTORY INSTALLED MEP ROUTES

Corridor left unfinished for access

KITCHENS & BATHROOMS

Located adjacent to corridor

SITE CONNECTIONS Hookups made in corridor

Modular Shipping Parameters



Layout Configuration I

BARBELL CONFIGURATION –

MOST EFFICIENT

- Units must align across the corridor
- Provides workforce access during construction
- Openings can be provided between modules (pass throughs)



Layout Configuration II

SAW BOX CONFIGURATION -

LESS EFFICIENT

- Units do not have to align across corridor
- Still include corridor
- Typically used at building turns
- Solve limited crane maneuverability
- Openings can be provided between modules (pass throughs)



Layout Configuration III

CROSS CUT CONFIGURATION –

LEAST EFFICIENT

- Reduce total number of modules
- Most finish work on-site
- Site installed corridors
- No natural vibration breaks
- Requires more extensive MEP coordination



Design for Delivery

TRANSPORTATION

- 72' Module on 60' truck bed
- Means and methods

INSTALLATION

- Continuous floor rims
- Irregular shape
- Lift from the bottom



Urban Site Challenges

- Crane access and swing
- Temporary mod storage
- Space for Staging
- Transportation logistics
- Zoning height and site width considerations due to double framing assembly



Permitting Approach – Dual Jurisdictions

STATE JURISDICTION

- Code Review: Modular Portions
 - Built/installed in factory
 - On-site connections (load path)
 - Components connected to the modular structure
- Inspections: Modular Portions
 - Built/Installed in Factory
 - Third party in the factory

LOCAL JURISDICTION

- Code Review: Site-Built Portions
 - Fully site-built (e.g. foundations, concrete podium, etc)
- Inspections: Site-Built and Site-installed Modular Portions
 - Modular portions inspected based on State approved drawings
 - Local AHJ or third party

Modular Engineer of Record

SITE EOR RESPONSIBILITIES

- Supporting structure
- Simple scope delineation
- Local Permit

MODULAR EOR RESPONSIBILITIES

- Factory-built portion
- Provide building loads to Site EOR
- State Permit



California Specific Permitting

- California State Jurisdiction
 - California Department of Housing and

Community Development (HCD)

Maintains a handbook to educate local AHJs,

builders and general public

- HCD FBH 314
- Recommended pre-app meeting with local jurisdiction
- Resume of work (highly recommended)

**HCD = California Department of Housing and Community Development

**LAHJ = Local Authority Having Jurisdiction

*FD = Fire Department	e Department
-----------------------	--------------

Discipline/Description	Plan Review			Inspections			Comments
	HCD	LAHJ	FD	HCD	LAHJ	FD	
Structural							
Podium Slab		×			х		Including embedded elements that modular units will attach to (embeds, anchors, etc)
All construciton below poduim slab		х			х		
Factory-Built (F8) Portions (Modules)	х			х			
Interconnections between modules	х				x		Including hold downs and their connections to the embedded podium elements
Site-built elements in the FB Portion	×				×		e.g. partial corridor framing, parapets and parapet braces, misc framing where indicated
Site-installed wood shear wall components	х				х		e.g. corridor sheathing, ATS rods and hardware, where indicated

> QUESTIONS?

This concludes The American Institute of Architects Continuing Education Systems Course

Aaron Miller

DCI Engineers

amiller@dci-engineers.com