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Disclaimer: This presentation was developed by a third party and is not funded by WoodWorks or the Softwood Lumber Board.
Presentation Goal:
To identify potential project risks, recommend mitigation tactics, so that mass timber projects can be implemented with financial efficiency.
Risk and Schedule Management Learning Objectives

Financial Risk
- Project Delivery Method
- Purchasing: Exchange Rate
- Purchasing: Commodity Pricing
- Project Delivery Method

Jurisdictional Risk
- Code Path
- Code Interpretation
- Limited Tested Assemblies
- Field Inspections

Schedule
- MEPF Penetration Incorporation
- Schedule Critical Shop Drawing Dates
- Adjacent Structural Systems
- Manage RFI Process
- Factory Backlog & OPP
- Erection Sequencing Constructability
FINANCIAL RISK

Project Delivery Method
Purchasing: Exchange Rate
Purchasing: Commodity Pricing
Project Delivery Method

5% Savings  Neutrality  10% Premium

CMGC, GC/CM, CMAR, Design-Build

Project Delivery Matters: Why?
Risk: New and unfamiliar products can lead to unoptimized design => $$$$ 
Mitigation: Gain technical knowhow from industry experts => $
Can Details Be Fabricated?
Can Details Be Fabricated?
Efficient Detailing and Fabrication leads to Lower Installation Costs
Risk Mitigation For Seamless Transition to Construction

Risk Mitigation Strategies:
Avoid Design-Bid-Build
Hire and use a CM or GC during design for paid precon => spend $ to save $$$
Engage with a mass timber firm during precon to optimize system costs
Risk: Purchase of material has exchange rate risk
Mitigation: Be prepared to execute an LOI to lock in exchange rate risk at time of bid. This approach requires teaming effort with owner, contractor, architect, and engineer.
Risk: Purchase of material has commodity index risk, similar to steel and concrete
Mitigation: Be prepared to execute an LOI to avoid commodity price risk at time of bid. This approach requires teaming effort with owner, contractor, architect, and engineer.
JURISDICTIONAL RISK

Code Path

Code Interpretation

Limited Tested Assemblies

Permit Comments

Field Inspections
Risk: Local adoption of code influences what can and can’t be done with mass timber
Mitigation: Understand code path and required variances at inception of project
Code Interpretation

Risk:
Each jurisdiction may interpret the code slightly differently.

Mitigation:
Meet with the AHJ for pre-app conferences to discuss code interpretation for project
Document and circulate meeting minutes to ensure team is on the same page
Limited Tested Assemblies

2 HR Shafts through Non-Rated or 1 HR Floors
2 HR rated Timber to Timber Connections
Limitations of tested connections (loading in Kips)

Risks:
- Tested assemblies may be required

Mitigation:
- Engage consultants and system experts to determine what project details require engineering judgements or project specific testing. Can the design be modified to remove engineering judgements or project specific testing?
Incorporate Permit Comments into Shop Drawings

Risk: Permit comments required to complete mass timber shop drawings

Mitigation:

Know when first round of structural comments are anticipated, place date in schedule

Ensure structural comment date is tied to critical path in schedule
Risk: Approved permit does not limit field inspector interpretation of the plans.

Mitigation:

Determine assemblies requiring engineering judgements

Proactively plan for inspections and engage inspector prior to onsite inspections
SCHEDULE RISK
MEPF Penetration Incorporation
Schedule Critical Shop Drawing Dates
Adjacent Structural Systems
Manage RFI Process
Factory Backlog & OPP
Erection Sequencing Constructability
Early MEPF Involvement Leads to Schedule Enhancement

Risk: Failure to engage MEPF partners early leads to difficulty field fabricating penetrations

Mitigation:

Take advantage of CNC Technology, coordinate MEPF trades early in project design
Prefabricating MEPF openings leads to quicker field installation times and better quality
Risk: Failure model, and build off of model, for adjacent structural systems (concrete/steel)

Mitigation:

- Ensure subcontractor performing steel and concrete structures build off of a model
- Coordinate timber model with other structural models
RFI Submission & Response Timing

Protracted RFI submittal and response period leads to hold ups with fabrication drawing development

Mitigation:
Teamwork and RFI meetings between AEC teams will speed up RFI period and facilitate timely execution of fabrication drawings

Risks:
Risk: If large projects ahead of you in the factory’s queue get delayed then your material may become delayed.
Mitigation: Understand the manufacturer’s backlog and risk associated with those projects.
(1) Steel holddown plate attached to CLT
(2) Set CLT wall
(3) Weld hold-down transfer plate to Connection Link
(4) Set tube steel column
(5) Infill CLT panel
(6) Set Steel beam on CLT wall
(7) Set remaining CLT walls on top of HSS tube steel.
The mission of Swinerton Mass Timber is to accelerate the mainstream adoption of mass timber construction by providing comprehensive engineering, procurement, and construction (EPC) services in the US commercial construction market.
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