


Life Cycle Assessment of Prototypical Office Buildings in a Moderate-Seismic Location



About WoodWorks – Wood Products Council

WoodWorks provides education and free project support related to the design, engineering, and construction of commercial and multi-family wood buildings in the U.S. For assistance with a project, visit www.woodworks.org/project-assistance or email help@woodworks.org.

Considering wood? Ask us anything.

FREE PROJECT SUPPORT / EDUCATION / RESOURCES

woodworks.org





Developed for WoodWorks – Wood Products Council by

Reid Zimmerman, PE, SE, KPFF Consulting Engineers

Cameron Hansen, KPFF Consulting Engineers

Ashley Cagle, PE, SE, WoodWorks – Wood Products Council

Scott Breneman, PhD, PE, SE, WoodWorks – Wood Products Council

LCA Tool

KPFF's Internal LCA Calculator
(based on Athena Impact Estimator for Buildings)

Core funding for this study was provided by the
U.S. Endowment for Forestry and Communities.

*WoodWorks would like to acknowledge
Matt Jungclaus, Martín Torres, and Wil Srubar, whose research work
at the University of Colorado at Boulder on the embodied carbon
of DOE prototype buildings inspired this work.*

July 2026

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1 Overview of Study	1
1.2 Overview of Buildings	1
1.3 Overview of Structural Systems	1
2 Life Cycle Assessment Methodology	2
2.1 LCA Overview	2
2.2 Material and LCA Assumptions	3
3. Medium Office Building Description and Materials.....	4
3.1 Building Description	4
3.2 Mass Timber Structure	4
3.3 Steel Structure.....	7
3.4 Reinforced Concrete Structure	10
4. Large Office Building Description and Materials.....	13
4.1 Building Description	13
4.2 Mass Timber Structure	13
4.3 Steel Structure.....	17
4.4 Reinforced Concrete Structure	20
5 Results and Discussion.....	23
5.1 Medium Office Building	23
5.2 Large Office Building.....	26
6. Summary and Conclusions	30
6.1 Summary.....	30
6.2 Medium Office Building	31
6.3 Large Office Building.....	31
References.....	32

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview of Study

This study documents the life cycle assessment (LCA) of prototypical medium and large office buildings at a moderate-seismic location in the U.S. It is intended to compare the global warming potential (GWP) of different structural configurations across structural systems and life cycle stages, including gravity versus lateral portions of the structural force-resisting system, superstructure versus substructure, and excluding or including biogenic carbon. Five structural system configurations, comprising different combinations of mass timber, structural steel, and reinforced concrete systems, were studied for both the medium and large office buildings. For an LCA of prototypical medium and large office buildings at a high-seismic, rather than moderate-seismic, location in the U.S., see [WoodWorks, 2026](#).

1.2 Overview of Buildings

The heights and areas of the medium and large office buildings are taken from the U.S. Department of Energy's prototype building models (DOE, 2025) corresponding to 39 feet (11.9 meters) and 55,000 square feet (5,110 square meters) and 156 feet (47.5 meters) and 500,000 square feet (46,500 square meters), respectively. Since these prototype building

descriptions only specify perimeter plan dimensions and building height, this study furthered that information to establish realistic grid spacing, locations of primary vertical circulation (i.e., stairs and elevators), and locations of the lateral force-resisting system (LFRS). See Sections 3 and 4 of this report for more details on the medium and large office buildings, respectively.

Both buildings are assumed to be located in a moderate-seismic region of the U.S. corresponding to Seismic Design Category C. For this study, El Paso, Texas was chosen as the representative location. All non-lateral load effects (e.g., dead, live, etc.) were considered in the structural design in combination with seismic loads. Wind loads were assumed not to govern.

1.3 Overview of Structural Systems

Five structural system configurations were studied, comprising a mix of mass timber, structural steel, and reinforced concrete in different combinations in the gravity force-resisting system (GFRS) and LFRS, for each of the prototype medium and large office buildings. See Table 1-1.

TABLE 1-1: Structural system configurations for both medium and large office buildings

Gravity Force-Resisting System	Lateral Force-Resisting System
Mass timber post-and-beam	Ordinary steel braced frames (medium office) Special steel braced frames (large office)
	Ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls
	Post-tensioned timber rocking walls
Structural steel post-and-beam	Ordinary steel braced frames (medium office) Special steel braced frames (large office)
Reinforced concrete post-tensioned flat slab and columns	Ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls

Each design was developed to a 100% schematic design level using a combination of hand/spreadsheet calculations and structural analysis models. Design is in accordance with the following codes and standards:

- 2021 International Building Code (ICC, 2021)
- ASCE/SEI 7-16: Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE, 2016)
- 2018 National Design Specification for Wood Construction® (NDS®) (AWC, 2018)
- 2021 Special Design Provisions for Wind and Seismic (SDPWS) (AWC, 2021)

- ANSI/AISC 360-16: Specification for Structural Steel Buildings (AISC, 2016)
- ANSI/AISC 341-16: Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings (AISC, 2016)
- ACI 318-19: Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI, 2019)

Significant effort was made to achieve functionally equivalent structures for the purpose of comparative LCA. For example, consistent superimposed dead and live loads, deflection limits, and foundation type were used across all structural system configurations for each of the buildings.

2. Life Cycle Assessment Methodology

2.1 LCA Overview

An LCA was performed to estimate the GWP associated with each of the five structural system configurations described in Section 1.3 for each of the medium and large office buildings. GWP is measured in kilograms of carbon dioxide equivalent (kgCO₂eq).

The LCA addresses the life cycle stages shown in Table 2-1 and includes Stages A, C, and D. Stage B

(e.g., repair/replacement of interior finishes, operational energy/water use, etc.) does not generally impact LCAs that are primarily focused on structural quantities. Thus, Stage B is excluded from this study. To show the contribution of each life cycle stage, results in Section 5 are reported separately for Stages A, A through C, and A through D. This is important because the assumptions made for many materials in Stages C and D are often uncertain.

TABLE 2-1: Life cycle stages; scope inclusions in light green

Production			Construction Process		Use							End-of-Life				
A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Raw Material Supply	Transportation	Manufacturing	Transportation	Construction/Installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational Energy Use	Operational Water Use	Deconstruction/Demolition	Transportation	Waste Processing	Disposal	Benefits and Loads beyond the System Boundary

2.2 Material and LCA Assumptions

A structural material quantity take-off was performed for each configuration. The physical scope of quantities is represented in Sections 3.2 through 3.4 and Sections 4.2 through 4.4 for the medium and large office buildings, respectively. Quantities for main structural members were explicitly calculated with the following assumptions and clarifications.

- Steel plate quantities representing gravity glue-laminated timber (glulam) connections were estimated from a typical connection and then applied throughout the project.
- Steel plate quantities representing gravity steel connections were estimated as 1.5% of the explicit take-off of wide-flange (WF) member tonnage.
- The explicit take-off of concrete reinforcement tonnage was increased by 20% to account for laps, splices, hooks, miscellaneous rebar, etc.
- The explicit take-off of steel braced frame tonnage was increased by 5% to account for gussets, stiffener plates, etc.
- All hardware and connections for post-tensioned timber rocking walls were explicitly calculated.

The following elements and components were excluded from this study:

- All nonstructural elements and components including architectural (interior and envelope), mechanical, electrical, plumbing, fire protection, landscape, civil/site work and contents (e.g., casework and furniture)
- Structural elements and components not part of the main force-resisting system as well as other miscellaneous structural elements and components (e.g., stairs, elevator guide rail supports, light-gauge framing of interior and exterior walls, and structural fasteners)
- Excavation and temporary shoring

The GWP of each material was then estimated using GWP intensities pulled from the Athena Impact Estimator for Buildings version 5.5.0113 (Athena), with a few exceptions as described below, and implemented in a spreadsheet-based tool developed for KPFF's internal use. In Athena, the general USA location was selected, rather than a project-specific location, to keep the results general to all moderate-seismic locations in the U.S. Materials used in this study that are not available in Athena include:

- Gypsum concrete (gypcrete): GWP intensities used for gypcrete are based on a composite of the USG Levelrock 2500/3500 Environmental Production Declaration (EPD) values for Modules A1 through A3 and the Athena Concrete 4000 psi values for Modules A4 through D.
- Steel fireproofing: GWP intensities were taken from TallyLCA version 2025.06.24.01. This material only applies to the large office building.

LCA results are reported both excluding and including biogenic carbon. Biogenic carbon is the carbon stored in wood products and other biological material and is counted as a “negative” carbon emission in an LCA. Excluding biogenic carbon represents the approximate total embodied carbon emissions resulting from the project. For more information on the biogenic carbon accounting methods used in Athena, see *Biogenic Carbon Accounting in WBLCA Tools* (WoodWorks, n.d.) and Athena's user manual (Athena, 2023).

3. Medium Office Building Description and Materials

3.1 Building Description

The medium office building has three stories above grade and is classified as Business (Group B) occupancy. Each floor is approximately 110x160 feet (34x49 meters) for a total building size of 55,000 square feet (5,110 square meters). Floor-to-floor heights are 13 feet (4 meters). The building is assumed to be Type V-B construction with a 0-hour fire-resistance rating (FRR) for the primary structural frame, bearing and non-bearing walls, floor construction, and roof construction in accordance with the 2021 IBC.

3.2 Mass Timber Structure

As shown in Table 1-1, a mass timber post-and-beam GFRS is paired with three types of LFRS: (1) ordinary steel braced frames, (2) ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls, and (3) post-tensioned timber rocking walls. Bays are approximately 23x27 feet (7x8.2 meters). At all levels, 3-ply cross-laminated timber (CLT) panels span to glulam beams, which span to glulam girders and glulam columns. At the floors, the CLT is topped with 2 inches of gypcrete; no gypcrete is present at the roof. The ground floor consists of a reinforced concrete slab on grade. Spread footings




FIGURE 3-1: First floor and foundation plan for the medium mass timber office buildings

occur at column locations and strip footings occur under the LFRS elements (e.g., braced frames, concrete walls, or post-tensioned timber walls). The first floor and foundation plan is shown in Figure 3-1; a typical floor plan is shown in Figure 3-2.

The ordinary steel braced frames consist of square hollow structural section (HSS) braces in a chevron configuration at each story with WF steel beams

and columns. The special reinforced concrete shear walls are 10 inches thick. The post-tensioned timber rocking walls consist of 9-ply CLT wall panels with steel U-shaped flexural plates (UFPs) and post-tensioned threaded rods.

The structural systems, elements, and materials considered in the LCA for the medium mass timber office buildings are shown in Table 3-1.




FIGURE 3-2: Typical floor plan for the medium mass timber office buildings

TABLE 3-1: Scope of structural systems, elements, and materials for the medium mass timber office buildings

Structural Element	Material Name ^a	Notes
Gravity Force-Resisting System		
Foundations	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Slab on grade	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Beams/girders	Glulam	To account for beam and girder connections
	Steel plate	
Columns	Glulam	To account for column-to-column and column-to-foundation connections
	Steel plate	
Floor/roof assembly	CLT	
	Gypcrete ^b	
Lateral Force-Resisting System		
Ordinary Steel Braced Frames		
Foundations	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Beams/girders	WF steel	
Columns	WF steel	
Braces	HSS steel	
Chords/collectors	Steel plate	
Ordinary Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls		
Foundations	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Walls	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Chords/collectors	Steel plate	
Post-Tensioned Timber Rocking Walls		
Foundations	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Walls	CLT	To account for UFPs, UFP connections, and post-tensioned rod connections
	Steel plate	
		Screws, nuts, bolts
Chords/collectors	Steel plate	

^a Material names correspond to Athena unless noted otherwise.

^b Custom material. See Section 4.1.

3.3 Steel Structure

As described in Table 1-1, a structural steel post-and-beam GFRS is paired with ordinary steel braced frames as the LFRS. Bays are approximately 32x36 feet (9.8x11 meters). At the floors, 3-inch normal-weight concrete on 3-inch metal deck spans to WF beams, which span to WF girders. At the roof, the 3-inch metal deck is untopped (i.e., has no concrete topping). The ground floor consists of a reinforced concrete slab on grade. Spread footings occur at column locations and strip footings occur under the steel braced frames. The first floor and foundation

plan is shown in Figure 3-3; a typical floor plan is shown in Figure 3-4.

The ordinary steel braced frames use a similar configuration and similar steel shapes for beams, columns, and braces as described for the mass timber building in Section 3.2, except with different sized structural members.

The structural systems, elements, and materials considered in the LCA for the medium structural steel office building are shown in Table 3-2.




FIGURE 3-3: First floor and foundation plan for the medium structural steel office building




FIGURE 3-4: Typical floor plan for the medium structural steel office building

TABLE 3-2: Scope of structural systems, elements, and materials for the medium structural steel office building

Structural Element	Material Name ^a	Notes
Gravity Force-Resisting System		
Foundations	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Slab on grade	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Beams/girders	WF steel	To account for beam and girder connections
	Steel plate	
Columns	WF steel	To account for column-to-column and column-to-foundation connections
	Steel plate	
Floor/roof assembly	Concrete 4000 psi	To account for metal decking
	Galvanized decking	
	Rebar	
Lateral Force-Resisting System		
Ordinary Steel Braced Frames		
Foundations	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Beams/girders	WF steel	
Columns	WF steel	
Braces	HSS steel	
Chords/collectors	WF steel	

^a Material names correspond to Athena unless noted otherwise.

3.4 Reinforced Concrete Structure

As described in Table 1-1, a reinforced concrete post-tensioned flat-slab and column GFRS is paired with ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls as the LFRS. Bays are approximately 30x31 feet (9.1x9.4 meters). As is typical in post-tensioned flat-slab buildings, columns are held back from the perimeter to increase slab capacity in the end bays, resulting in cantilevers along the plan north and south edges of the building. Smaller cantilevers also occur at the plan east and west edges. At the floors and roof, a 9-inch post-tensioned flat slab spans to reinforced concrete columns. The ground floor consists of a reinforced concrete slab on grade. Spread footings

occur at column locations and strip footings occur under the reinforced concrete shear walls. The first floor and foundation plan is shown in Figure 3-5; a typical floor plan is shown in Figure 3-6.

The ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls use a similar configuration as described for the mass timber building in Section 3.2, except with different thickness, length, and reinforcing.

The structural systems, elements, and materials considered in the LCA for the medium reinforced concrete office building are shown in Table 3-3.




FIGURE 3-5: First floor and foundation plan for the medium reinforced concrete office building



TABLE 3-3: Scope of structural systems, elements, and materials for the medium reinforced concrete office building

Structural Element	Material Name ^a	Notes
Gravity Force-Resisting System		
Foundations	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Slab on grade	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Columns	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Floor/roof assembly	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
	Screws, nuts, bolts	To account for post-tensioning strands
Lateral Force-Resisting System		
Ordinary Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls		
Foundations	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Walls	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Chords/collectors	Rebar	

^a Material names correspond to Athena unless noted otherwise.

4. Large Office Building Description and Materials

4.1 Building Description

The large office building has 12 stories above grade and one below-grade level and is classified throughout as Business (Group B) occupancy. Each floor is approximately 160x240 feet (49x73 meters) for a total building size of 500,000 square feet (46,500 square meters). Floor-to-floor heights are 13 feet (4 meters). The building is assumed to have a 2-hour FRR for the primary structural frame, bearing walls, and floor construction, a 1-hour FRR for the roof construction, and a 0-hour FRR for non-bearing walls. This aligns with Type IV-B construction for mass timber building configurations and Type I-B construction for steel and concrete building configurations in accordance with the 2021 IBC.

4.2 Mass Timber Structure

As described in Table 1-1, a mass timber post-and-beam GFRS is paired with three types of LFRS: (1) special steel braced frames, (2) ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls, and (3) post-tensioned timber rocking walls. Bays are approximately 30x32 feet (9.1x9.8 meters). At all levels, 5-ply CLT panels span to glulam beams, which span to glulam girders and glulam columns. At the floors, the CLT is topped with 2 inches of gypcrete; no gypcrete is present at the roof.

The ground floor consists of a 9-inch post-tensioned concrete flat slab, and there is a 12-inch-thick reinforced concrete retaining wall around the perimeter of the basement level. The foundation consists of a reinforced concrete mat foundation, locally thickened under the LFRS. The basement level and foundation plan is shown in Figure 4-1. The ground floor plan is shown in Figure 4-2 and a typical upper floor plan is shown in Figure 4-3.

The special steel braced frames consist of WF braces in a two-story super-X configuration. A super-X configuration involves alternating chevron and V (inverse chevron) bracing at each story to create a two-story X of braces. Beams and columns are also WF steel. The ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls consist of 10-inch-thick reinforced concrete shear walls with coupling beams over doors and elevator lobby openings. The post-tensioned timber rocking walls consist of 9-ply CLT wall panels with steel UFPs and post-tensioned threaded rods.

The structural systems, elements, and materials considered in the LCA for the large mass timber office buildings are shown in Table 4-1.




FIGURE 4-1: Basement level and foundation plan for the large mass timber office buildings




FIGURE 4-2: Ground floor plan for the large mass timber office buildings




FIGURE 4-3: Typical upper floor plan for the large mass timber office buildings

TABLE 4-1: Scope of structural systems, elements, and materials for the large mass timber office buildings

Structural Elemen	Material Name ^a	Notes
Gravity Force-Resisting System		
Foundations	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Basement walls	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Level 1 slab	Concrete 5000 psi	To account for post-tensioning strands
	Rebar	
	Screws, nuts, bolts	
Beams/girders	Glulam	To account for beam and girder connections
	Steel plate	
Columns	Glulam	To account for column-to-column and column-to-foundation connections
	Steel plate	
	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Floor/roof assembly	CLT	
	Gypcrete ^b	

Continued on page 16

TABLE 4-1: Scope of structural systems, elements, and materials for the large mass timber office buildings
(Continued)

Structural Element	Material Name ^a	Notes
Lateral Force-Resisting System		
Special Steel Braced Frames		
Foundations	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Basement walls	Rebar	
Level 1 slab	Rebar	
Beams/girders	WF steel	
Columns	WF steel	
Braces	WF steel	
Chords/collectors	Steel plate	
Spray-applied fireproofing	Fireproofing, cementitious ^c	
Ordinary Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls		
Foundations	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Basement walls	Rebar	
Level 1 slab	Rebar	
Walls	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Chords/collectors	Steel plate	
Post-Tensioned Timber Rocking Walls		
Foundations	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Basement walls	Rebar	
Level 1 slab	Rebar	
Columns	Glulam	To account for column-to-column and column-to-foundation connections
	Steel plate	
	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Walls	CLT	
	Steel plate	To account for UFPs, UFP connections, and post-tensioned rod connections
	Screws, nuts, bolts	To account for post-tensioned rods
Chords/collectors	Steel plate	

^a Material names correspond to Athena unless noted otherwise.

^b Custom material. See Section 4.1.

^c TallyLCA material. See Section 4.1.

4.3 Steel Structure

As described in Table 1-1, a structural steel post-and-beam gravity system is paired with special steel braced frames as the LFRS. Bays are approximately 30x32 feet (9.1x9.8 meters). At the floors, 3-inch normal-weight concrete on 3-inch metal deck spans to WF beams, which span to WF girders. At the roof, the 3-inch metal deck is untopped (i.e., has no concrete topping).

The ground floor consists of a 9-inch post-tensioned concrete flat slab, and there is a 12-inch-thick reinforced concrete retaining wall around the perimeter of the basement level. The foundation consists of a reinforced concrete mat foundation, locally thickened under the steel braced frames.

The basement level and foundation plan is shown in Figure 4-4. The ground floor plan is shown in Figure 4-5 and a typical upper floor plan is shown in Figure 4-6.

The special steel braced frames use a similar configuration and similar steel shapes for beams, columns, and braces as described for the mass timber building in Section 4.2, except with different sized structural members.

The structural systems, elements, and materials considered in the LCA for the large structural steel office building are shown in Table 4-2.




FIGURE 4-4: Basement level and foundation plan for the large structural steel office building




FIGURE 4-5: Ground floor plan for the large structural steel office building




FIGURE 4-6: Typical upper floor plan for the large structural steel office building

TABLE 4-2: Scope of structural systems, elements, and materials for the large structural steel office building

Structural Element	Material Name ^a	Notes
Gravity Force-Resisting System		
Foundations	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Basement walls	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Level 1 slab	Concrete 5000 psi	To account for post-tensioning strands
	Rebar	
	Screws, nuts, bolts	
Beams/girders	WF steel	To account for beam and girder connections
	Steel plate	
Columns	WF steel	To account for column-to-column and column-to-foundation connections
	Steel plate	
	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Floor/roof assembly	Concrete 4000 psi	To account for metal decking
	Galvanized decking	
	Rebar	
Spray-applied fireproofing	Fireproofing, cementitious ^b	
Lateral Force-Resisting System		
Special Steel Braced Frames		
Foundations	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Basement walls	Rebar	
Level 1 slab	Rebar	
Beams/girders	WF steel	
Columns	WF steel	
Braces	WF steel	
Chords/collectors	WF steel	

^a Material names correspond to Athena unless noted otherwise.

^b TallyLCA material. See Section 4.1.

4.4 Reinforced Concrete Structure

As described in Table 1-1, a reinforced concrete post-tensioned flat-slab and column gravity system was paired with ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls. Bays are approximately 30x30 feet (9.1x9.1 meters). As is typical in post-tensioned flat-slab buildings, columns were held back from the perimeter to increase slab capacity in the end bays, resulting in cantilevers along the plan north and south edges of the building. Smaller cantilevers also occur at the plan east and west edges. At the floors and roof, a 9-inch post-tensioned flat slab spans to reinforced concrete columns.

The ground floor consists of a 9-inch post-tensioned concrete flat slab, and there is a 12-inch-thick reinforced concrete retaining wall around the

perimeter of the basement level. The foundation consists of a reinforced concrete mat foundation, locally thickened under the special reinforced concrete shear walls. The basement level and foundation plan is shown in Figure 4-7. The ground floor plan is shown in Figure 4-8 and a typical upper floor plan is shown in Figure 4-9.

The ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls use a similar configuration as described for the mass timber building in Section 4.2, except with different thickness, length, and reinforcing.

The structural systems, elements, and materials considered in the LCA for the large reinforced concrete office building are shown in Table 4-3.




FIGURE 4-7: First floor and foundation plan for the large reinforced concrete office building




FIGURE 4-8: Ground floor plan for the large reinforced concrete office building




FIGURE 4-9: Typical upper floor plan for the large reinforced concrete office building

TABLE 4-3: Scope of structural systems, elements, and materials for the large reinforced concrete office building

Structural Element	Material Name ^a	Notes
Gravity Force-Resisting System		
Foundations	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Basement walls	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Level 1 slab	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
	Screws, nuts, bolts	To account for post-tensioning strands
Columns	Concrete 6000 psi	
	Rebar	
Floor/roof assembly	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
	Screws, nuts, bolts	To account for post-tensioning strands
Lateral Force-Resisting System		
Ordinary Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls		
Foundations	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Basement walls	Concrete 4000 psi	
	Rebar	
Level 1 slab	Rebar	
Walls	Concrete 5000 psi	
	Rebar	
Chords/collectors	Rebar	

^a Material names correspond to Athena unless noted otherwise.

5. Results and Discussion

5.1 Medium Office Building

A comparison of the GWP values for each structural system for the medium office building across life cycle stages and materials is shown in Figures 5-1 and 5-2 when excluding and including biogenic carbon, respectively. The study considered Stages A, A through C, and A through D. Materials contributing to the LCA (discussed in Section 3) were grouped into the following categories: concrete/gypcrete, rebar, steel (hot-rolled), steel (cold-formed), and timber. A comparison of the relative GWP contributions for each structural system across life cycle stages for superstructure versus substructure and GFRS versus LFRS is shown in Figure 5-3 when excluding biogenic carbon. Numerical values of GWP are reported in Table 5-1.

GWP results excluding biogenic carbon (Figure 5-1a) for the mass timber GFRS, with any of the LFRS options, vary between approximately 70 and 95 kgCO₂eq/m² depending on which life cycle stages are considered. GWP results for the structural steel building vary between approximately 140 and 155 kgCO₂eq/m², whereas those for the reinforced concrete building vary between approximately 155 and 195 kgCO₂eq/m². This indicates that the mass timber buildings have significantly lower GWP than the structural steel building, which has significantly lower GWP than the reinforced concrete building. Figure 5-1a also shows that Stage A, particularly Modules A1 through A3, contributes the most to GWP. Interestingly, the variation in GWP between LFRS configurations for the mass timber GFRS is minimal, as explained in the discussion of Figure 5-3.

Relative GWP contributions by material (Figure 5-1b) differ by building type. For the mass timber buildings, concrete/gypcrete and timber contribute about equally and, together, are by far the largest contributors to the total GWP. For the structural steel building, concrete and steel contribute about equally and, together, are by far the largest contributors to the total GWP. For the reinforced concrete building, concrete contributes approximately 80-90% of the total GWP.

Results including biogenic carbon (Figure 5-2) show considerable reductions in total GWP for the mass timber GFRS as a result of the biogenic carbon stored in the timber materials. Timber materials exhibit net negative GWP values (i.e., net carbon storage) across all life cycle stages, including when end-of-life assumptions (Stage C) are considered. Total GWP varies between approximately -75 and 10 kgCO₂eq/m² for the mass timber buildings, depending on the life cycle stages considered, with approximately 80-85 kgCO₂eq/m² stored in the timber components of the building when considering Stages A through D. Since no materials with biogenic carbon are included in the structural steel or reinforced concrete buildings, the results of Figure 5-2 are identical to those of Figure 5-1a for these buildings.

Relative GWP contributions when excluding biogenic carbon in Figure 5-3 demonstrate that the GFRS contributes approximately 70-80% to the total GWP across all structure configurations and life cycle stages, with the remainder coming from the LFRS. The relatively minor contribution of the LFRS to total GWP explains why there was little variation between the three LFRS configurations used with the mass timber GFRS (Figure 5-1a).






FIGURE 5-1: Comparison across structural system configurations and life cycle stages (excluding biogenic carbon) for the medium office building in terms of (a) GWP in kgCO₂eq/m² and (b) relative GWP contribution






FIGURE 5-2: Comparison across structural system configurations and life cycle stages (including biogenic carbon) for the medium office building in terms of GWP in kgCO₂eq/m²





FIGURE 5-3: Relative GWP contribution between the LFRS versus GFRS and the superstructure versus substructure across structural system configurations and life cycle stages (excluding biogenic carbon) for the medium office building




TABLE 5-1: GWP values rounded to the nearest 5 kgCO₂eq/m² across structural system configurations and life cycle stages for the medium office building

5 kgCO ₂ eq/m ² across structural system configurations and life cycle stages for the medium office building		GWP Excluding Biogenic Carbon			GWP Including Biogenic Carbon (Stored Biogenic Carbon)		
Structural System		Life Cycle Stages					
GFRS	LFRS	A	A-C	A-D	A	A-C	A-D
Mass timber post-and-beam	Ordinary steel braced frames	80	85	95	-60 (140)	5 (80)	10 (80)
	Ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls	70	80	85	-70 (140)	-5 (85)	0 (85)
	Post-tensioned timber rocking walls	70	75	80	-75 (145)	-10 (85)	-5 (85)
Structural steel post-and-beam	Ordinary steel braced frames	140	155	140	140 (0)	155 (0)	140 (0)
Reinforced concrete post-tensioned flat slab and column	Ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls	155	170	195	155 (0)	170 (0)	195 (0)

Values in parentheses represent stored biogenic carbon.

(0) indicates that no materials with biogenic carbon are included in the LCA of these buildings.

GWP values including biogenic carbon are therefore equivalent to those excluding biogenic carbon.

5.2 Large Office Building

A comparison of the GWP values for each structural system across life cycle stages and materials is shown in Figures 5-4 and 5-5 when excluding and including biogenic carbon, respectively. The study considered Stages A, A through C, and A through D. Materials contributing to the LCA (discussed in Section 4) were grouped into the following categories: concrete/gypcrete, rebar, steel (hot-rolled), steel (cold-formed), timber, and other. The “other” category primarily corresponds to fireproofing spray-applied to steel. A comparison of relative GWP contributions for each structural system across life cycle stages for superstructure versus substructure and GFRS versus LFRS is shown in Figure 5-6 when excluding biogenic carbon. Numerical values of GWP are reported in Table 5-2.

GWP results excluding biogenic carbon (Figure 5-4a) for the mass timber GFRS, with any of the LFRS options, vary between approximately 125 and 160 kgCO₂eq/m² depending on which life cycle stages are considered. GWP results for the structural steel building vary between approximately 185 and 210 kgCO₂eq/m², whereas those for the reinforced concrete building vary between approximately 180 and 230 kgCO₂eq/m². This indicates that the mass timber building has significantly lower GWP than the structural steel building regardless of the LFRS. And the reinforced concrete building has the highest GWP of all five configurations. Figure 5-4a also shows that Stage A, particularly Modules A1 through A3, contributes the most to GWP.

Relative GWP contributions by material (Figure 5-4b) differ by building type. For the mass timber buildings, concrete/gypcrete and timber contribute about equally and, together, are by far the largest contributors to the total GWP. For the structural steel building, concrete is the single largest contributor at approximately 40% of total GWP. For the reinforced concrete building, concrete contributes approximately 70-80% to the total GWP.

Results including biogenic carbon (Figure 5-5) show significant reductions in total GWP for the mass timber GFRS, especially when paired with post-tensioned timber rocking walls as the LFRS, as a result of the biogenic carbon of the timber materials. Timber materials exhibit net negative GWP values (i.e., net carbon storage) across all life cycle stages, including when end-of-life assumptions (Stage C) are considered. Total GWP varies between approximately -80 and 30 kgCO₂eq/m², -75 and 35 kgCO₂eq/m², and -110 and 15 kgCO₂eq/m² for the mass timber buildings, depending on the life cycle stages considered, with special steel braced frames, ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls, and post-tensioned timber rocking walls, respectively.

When considering Stages A through D, approximately 120 to 125 kgCO₂eq/m² is stored in the timber components of the mass timber building with special steel braced frames or special reinforced concrete shear walls; approximately 145 kgCO₂eq/m² is stored in the mass timber building with post-tensioned timber rocking walls. Since no materials with biogenic carbon are included in the structural steel or reinforced concrete buildings, the results of Figure 5-5 are identical to those of Figure 5-4a for these buildings.

Relative GWP contributions when excluding biogenic carbon in Figure 5-6 demonstrate that the GFRS contributes approximately 80-90% to the total GWP across all structure types and life cycle stages, with the remainder coming from the LFRS. As with the medium office building, the relatively minor contribution of the LFRS to total GWP explains why there was little variation between the three LFRS configurations used with the mass timber GFRS (Figure 5-4a).






FIGURE 5-4: Comparison across structural system types and life cycle stages (excluding biogenic carbon) for the large office building in terms of (a) GWP in kgCO₂eq/m² and (b) relative GWP contribution






FIGURE 5-5: Comparison across structural system types and life cycle stages (including biogenic carbon) for the large office building in terms of GWP in kgCO₂eq/m²





FIGURE 5-6: Relative GWP contribution between the lateral versus gravity and the superstructure versus substructure across structural system types and life cycle stages (excluding biogenic carbon) for the large office building




TABLE 5-2: GWP values rounded to the nearest 5 kgCO₂eq/m² across structural system types and life cycle stages for the large office building

5 kgCO ₂ eq/m ² across structural system types and life cycle stages for the large office building		GWP Excluding Biogenic Carbon			GWP Including Biogenic Carbon (Stored Biogenic Carbon)		
Structural System		Life Cycle Stages					
Gravity	Lateral	A	A-C	A-D	A	A-C	A-D
Mass timber post-and-beam	Special steel braced frames	125	135	150	-80 (205)	15 (120)	30 (120)
	Ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls	125	140	160	-75 (200)	15 (125)	35 (125)
	Post-tensioned timber rocking walls	130	145	160	-110 (245)	0 (145)	15 (145)
Structural steel post-and-beam	Special steel braced frames	185	200	210	185 (0)	200 (0)	210 (0)
Reinforced concrete post-tensioned flat slab and column	Ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls	180	195	230	180 (0)	195 (0)	230 (0)

Values in parentheses represent stored biogenic carbon.

(0) indicates that no materials with biogenic carbon are included in the LCA of these buildings.

GWP values including biogenic carbon are therefore equivalent to those excluding biogenic carbon.

6. Summary and Conclusions

6.1 Summary

This study documents the LCA of prototypical medium and large office buildings at a moderate-seismic location in the U.S. corresponding to Seismic Design Category C. It compares the GWP of structural elements in functionally equivalent buildings across five structural system configurations and all life cycle stages (i.e., Stages A, A through C, and A through D) using Athena. The five structural system configurations, using various gravity force-resisting system (GFRS) and lateral force-resisting system (LFRS) combinations, included:

- Mass timber post-and-beam GFRS paired with steel braced frames as the LFRS
- Mass timber post-and-beam GFRS paired with reinforced concrete shear walls as the LFRS

- Mass timber post-and-beam GFRS paired with post-tensioned timber rocking walls as the LFRS
- Structural steel post-and-beam GFRS paired with steel braced frames as the LFRS
- Reinforced concrete post-tensioned flat slab and column GFRS paired with reinforced concrete shear walls as the LFRS

Relative GWP contributions are presented for the gravity versus lateral portions of the structural force-resisting system, the superstructure versus substructure, and excluding or including biogenic carbon.

6.2 Medium Office Building

In terms of material contribution to GWP, concrete/gypcrete contributes almost half of the total GWP when excluding biogenic carbon, even for the mass timber buildings. When including biogenic carbon, the contribution of concrete/gypcrete is even larger. All other material groups combined, including rebar, steel (hot-rolled), steel (cold-formed), and timber, make up approximately the other half. This emphasizes the continued importance of reducing concrete GWP through concrete volume reduction, replacement of concrete with other materials, and/or use of lower-carbon concrete mixes.

For all building types, the GFRS was shown to contribute approximately three quarters to the total GWP when excluding biogenic carbon, with most of that contribution coming from the gravity superstructure versus the gravity substructure (i.e., gravity foundations). This emphasizes the importance of GFRS selection compared to LFRS selection in reducing total GWP for buildings of this height in moderate-seismic regions.

Ultimately, the mass timber buildings, regardless of LFRS, had significantly lower GWP than the structural steel building, which had significantly lower GWP than the reinforced concrete building even when excluding biogenic carbon. When biogenic carbon was included, the GWP of the mass timber buildings was reduced by considerably more.

6.3 Large Office Building

In terms of material contribution to GWP, concrete/gypcrete contributes almost half of the total GWP when excluding biogenic carbon, even for the mass timber buildings. When including biogenic carbon, the contribution of concrete/gypcrete is even larger. All other material groups combined, including rebar, steel (hot-rolled), steel (cold-formed), and timber, make up approximately the other half. This emphasizes the continued importance of reducing concrete GWP through concrete volume reduction, replacing concrete with other materials, and/or using lower-carbon concrete mixes.

For all building types, the GFRS was shown to contribute approximately 90% of the total GWP when excluding biogenic carbon, with a slight majority of that contribution coming from the gravity superstructure versus the gravity substructure (i.e., gravity foundations). This emphasizes the importance of GFRS selection compared to LFRS selection in reducing total GWP for buildings of this height in moderate-seismic regions.

Ultimately, the mass timber buildings, regardless of LFRS, had significantly lower GWP than the structural steel building, which had moderately lower GWP than the reinforced concrete building even when excluding biogenic carbon. When biogenic carbon was included, the GWP of the mass timber buildings was reduced by considerably more.

References

- American Concrete Institute (ACI). (2019). Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-19).
- American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC). (2016). Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings (ANSI/AISC 341-16).
- American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC). (2016). Specification for Structural Steel Buildings (ANSI/AISC 360-16).
- American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). (2016). ASCE 7-16: Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE/SEI 7-16).
- American Wood Council (AWC). (2018). 2018 National Design Specification for Wood Construction® (NDS®).
- American Wood Council (AWC). (2021). 2021 Special Design Provisions for Wind and Seismic (SDPWS).
- Athena Sustainable Materials Institute (Athena). (2023). User Manual and Transparency Document, Impact Estimator for Buildings v5.5.
- International Code Council (ICC). (2021). 2021 International Building Code (IBC).
- U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). (2025). Prototype Building Models, <https://www.energycodes.gov/prototype-building-models>. Accessed October 2025.
- WoodWorks – Wood Products Council (WoodWorks). (n.d.). *Biogenic Carbon Accounting in WBLCA Tools*. <https://www.woodworks.org/resources/biogenic-carbon-accounting-in-wblca-tools/>.
- WoodWorks – Wood Products Council (WoodWorks). (2026). *Life Cycle Assessment of Prototypical Office Buildings in High-Seismic Location*. <https://www.woodworks.org/resources/prototypical-office-buildings-high-seismic-location-lca/>.



WW-LCA-11 – Life Cycle Assessment of Prototypical Office Buildings in a Moderate-Seismic Location
© 2026 WOODWORKS – WOOD PRODUCTS COUNCIL. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

DISCLAIMER: The information in this publication, including, without limitation, references to information contained in other publications or made available by other sources (collectively “information”) should not be used or relied upon for any application without competent professional examination and verification of its accuracy, suitability, code compliance and applicability by a licensed engineer, architect or other professional. Neither the Wood Products Council nor its employees, consultants, nor any other individuals or entities who contributed to the information make any warranty, representative or guarantee, expressed or implied, that the information is suitable for any general or particular use, that it is compliant with applicable law, codes or ordinances, or that it is free from infringement of any patent(s), nor do they assume any legal liability or responsibility for the use, application of and/or reference to the information. Anyone making use of the information in any manner assumes all liability arising from such use.

Funding provided in part by the Softwood Lumber Board

WoodWorks is an equal opportunity provider.